

JAPAN EXCHANGE GROUP JPX State of the second second

Impact of Tick Size Pilot Program on Trading Costs at Tokyo Stock Exchange

Masafumi Kondo

January 20, 2015

Vol. 07

·Note

This material was compiled based on the results of research and studies by directors, officers, and/or employees of Japan Exchange Group, Inc., its subsidiaries, and affiliates (hereafter collectively the "JPX group") with the intention of seeking comments from a wide range of persons from academia, research institutions, and market users. The views and opinions in this material are the writer's own and do not constitute the official view of the JPX group. This material was prepared solely for the purpose of providing information, and was not intended to solicit investment or recommend specific issues or securities companies. The JPX group shall not be responsible or liable for any damages or losses arising from use of this material. This English translation is intended for reference purposes only. In cases where any differences occur between the English version and its Japanese original, the Japanese version shall prevail. This translation is subject to change without notice. The JPX group shall accept no responsibility or liability for damages or losses caused by any error, inaccuracy, misunderstanding, or changes with regard to this translation.

Impact of Tick Size Pilot Program on Trading Costs at Tokyo Stock Exchange

Masafumi Kondo*

January 20, 2015

Abstract

This paper analyzes the impact on trading from the change in tick size for TOPIX100 constituents implemented in January and July 2014 using the FLEX Full data, which is the real time market data feed service provided by the Tokyo Stock Exchange. Furthermore, it examines whether the objective of such change, which was to improve the trading costs for investors, has been achieved. In analyzing the trading cost, we measured the observable trading-related cost under the implementation shortfall (IS) method, i.e., spread cost, timing cost, and market impact cost, using the respective quoted spread, effective spread, intraday volatility, and calculated effective spread by order size as the assessment index and compared them before and after the tick size change. The quoted spread and effective spread fell for all issues following tick size reduction, and the effective half spread for all TOPIX100 constituents fell from 5.55bp to 1.79bp, which was a decline of 397 million yen per day on a value basis. In addition, comparison of the volatility of the fluctuation rate for the midpoint of BBO every one- and ten-minutes indicates a larger downward trend in one-minute volatility following tick size reduction. In the calculation of the effective spread by order size using order book information, no deterioration was evident in effective spread following tick size reduction, apart from some issues, even for extremely large-sized orders. These results confirmed that trading-related costs under the IS method fell, following the change in tick size.

^{*} Equities Department, Tokyo Stock Exchange, Inc. and Corporate Strategy Department, Japan Exchange Group, Inc. (m-kondo@jpx.co.jp), Chartered Member of the Securities Analysts Association of Japan. I take this opportunity to express my deep appreciation for the useful comments from the staff of the Japanese Exchange Group and others in preparing this paper.

1 Introduction

Auction trading at Tokyo Stock Exchange (hereafter, the "TSE") and other major stock exchanges around the world is conducted by executing orders based on the principles of price priority and time priority. Under these principles, buy (sell) orders at a higher (lower) price are given priority over buy (sell) orders at lower (higher) prices, and earlier orders have priority over later orders. That is, an order must be indicated at a better price for it to be prioritized over some or all orders already in the order book. As such, a compromise on the transaction price may be required at such time since the price of the order must be better by at least one tick. Therefore, tick size is not only the unit of price for providing a quote but also the minimum cost required to determine the priority ranking for buying and selling. The tick size needs to be sufficiently small to allow investors to indicate an appropriate price when quoting based on their individual investment decision. However, too small a tick size can cause the priority ranking of quotes to change even with very small differences in price that have virtually no discernible economic impact. This causes the balance between the principles of price priority and time priority to collapse and also creates an enormous volume of minute prices which negatively impacts practical complexities. Thus, the appropriate setting of tick size is a very important matter in trading rule design.

The focus of this paper is on the influence that the change in tick size implemented by the TSE on January 14, 2014 and July 22, 2014 had on trading and whether this improved investor trading costs, which was the stated objective of the change. The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 provides a general overview of tick size in Japan; whereas Section 3 provides a general overview of tick size outside Japan. Section 4 discusses previous studies concerning the impact of tick size on trading. Section 5 gives an outline of the data used for analysis in this paper together with the methodology of such analysis. Section 6 provides the results of the analysis. Section 7 discusses the conclusions of this paper and the prospective outlook for tick size.

2 Overview of Tick Size in Japan

The TSE had previously maintained a uniform tick size regardless of the price band for quoting. However, the TSE implemented a tiered regime with different tick sizes corresponding to price bands from December 2, 1985 for listings of high priced foreign shares and shares for the Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation^{*1}. There have been changes from time to time with increased sophistication and variety of trading methods leading to increased demand for price formation with smaller pricing increments as well as improvements in the capacity to process orders in the trading system (Table1). The change in the tick size has consistently moved toward smaller

^{*1} Under the tiered regime, the tick size is differentiated for price bands. Thus, the ratio between price and tick size can be maintained at a certain level regardless of the price band of the quoted price.

increments, and immediately prior to the recent change there was a tick size reduction for some price bands on January 4, 2010 in conjunction with the launch of the current trading system known as arrowhead.

| | Price | 1985/12/02 | 1998/04/13 | 2000/07/17 | 2008/07/22 | 2010/01/04 | | | | | | |
|-------------|-----------------|------------|------------|-----------------|------------|------------|--|--|--|--|--|-------|
| Above | Up to 1,000 yen | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | |
| 1,000 yen | 2,000 yen | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | |
| 2,000 yen | 3,000 yen | 10 | 5 | 5 | 5 | | | | | | | |
| 3,000 yen | 5,000 yen | 10 | | | | 5 | | | | | | |
| 5,000 yen | 10,000 yen | | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | | | | | | |
| 10,000 yen | 30,000 yen | | | | | 10 | | | | | | |
| 30,000 yen | 50,000 yen | 100 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | | | | | | |
| 50,000 yen | 100,000 yen | - | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | | | | | | |
| 100,000 yen | 300,000 yen | | | | 100 | 100 | | | | | | |
| 300,000 yen | 500,000 yen | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | | 500 | | | | | | |
| 500,000 yen | 1 million | - | | | 1,000 | 1.000 | | | | | | |
| 1 million | 3 million | | | | | | | | | | | 1,000 |
| 3 million | 5 million | - | | 10,000 | 00 | 5,000 | | | | | | |
| 5 million | 20 million | 10.000 | 10.000 | | 10,000 | 10.000 | | | | | | |
| 20 million | 30 million | 10,000 | 10,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 10,000 | | | | | | |
| 30 million | 50 million | | | 100.000 | 100.000 | 50,000 | | | | | | |
| 50 million | | | | 100,000 100,000 | | 100,000 | | | | | | |

Table1 Transitional changes in tick size

* Units are yen.

Although, the TSE has steadily addressed the need for tick size reduction in this manner, but it has been constantly noted that the tick size for high liquidity issues and low price bands has scope for further improvement even with the 2010 change in tick size. There are two factors behind this argument. First is the constraint in terms of trading rules that the difference between the best bid offer on the selling and buying sides (hereafter, the "quoted spread") cannot be smaller than the tick size. There is a tendency for the quoted spread on issues with high liquidity to become small due to the large number of orders quoted in the market; in TSE, the quoted spread had always been same as tick size on many high liquidity issues. As the fair price is between the best bid offer on the sell and buy sides, it can generally be considered the midpoint, and opportunities to trade at a price closer to the fair price i.e., opportunities for price improvement could possibly be lost for these issues. Second, for a long time the minimum tick size on the TSE had been the 1 yen used in the minimum units for currency^{*2}. Thus, the tick size had been extremely large as compared with the quoted price for low price bands (referring to what was left after dividing tick size by the price quoted. Hereafter, the "ratio between price and tick size"). When the ratio between price and tick size is very large, it is not only difficult for investors to appropriately indicate a price based on an investment decision but also becomes hard to reflect small changes in the value of stocks in the

^{*2} Tick sizes smaller than 1 yen such as 0.1 yen were used prior to the abolition of the sub-yen currency in 1953.

execution price; i.e., the stock price, which could damage the price discovery mechanism in the financial market.

This was considered with the change in tick size on January 14, 2014 and July 22, 2014 (Table2). This successive change is referred to as Phase 1 and 2 of the step-by-step adjustment in tick size, and once again is examined considering the appropriate tick size based on matters such as the change in trading status in Phases 1 and 2. When the U.S. introduces new trading rules, the lead is generally taken by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) with a pilot program introduced on a provisional basis for a limited period of time to consider its effectiveness. This is the first time the TSE has changed its trading rules with such an experimental approach. In addition, in relation to the details of the change in tick size itself, two new trials are evident in dealing with the aforementioned matters.

First, the change in tick size is limited to only some issues. To date, stock exchanges in Japan have applied the same tick size for the same types of listed products and not just at the TSE. However, this time a smaller tick size shall apply only to the constituent issues of the TOPIX100^{*3}, which is a share price index calculated with reference to constituent issues determined by the TSE, and there is no change to the tick size of other issues^{*4}. Second, sub 1 yen tick sizes of 0.1 yen and 0.5 yen have been introduced for issues in low price bands. The TSE has been unifying the trading units for domestic stocks at 100 shares from November 2007, and the trading units of all TOPIX100 constituents were either 100 shares or 1,000 shares from July 22, 2014. Therefore, even if the execution price includes below the decimal point, the proceeds for shares purchased would not be below 1 yen. Thus, it became possible to have sub 1 yen tick sizes^{*5}.

Furthermore, Proprietary Trading System (PTS)^{*6} employed smaller tick size for all issues when they commenced operation; however, TOPIX100 constituents for some price bands have had the same tick size as the TSE since July 22, 2014(Table3).

^{*&}lt;sup>3</sup> The constituents of TOPIX100 comprise domestic stocks listed on the 1st section of the TSE, which are selected from constituents of the share price index TOPIX. TOPIX Core30 comprises the 30 issues with the largest trading value and market capitalization, and TOPIX Large 70 comprises the next 70 largest issues in terms of trading value and market capitalization.

^{*4} There are precedents in overseas exchanges, as noted later, for applying different tick size in accordance with an issue's liquidity for the same type of listed products.

^{*&}lt;sup>5</sup> When trading units started to be unified in 2007 there were eight types of trading units for domestic stocks listed in Japan, whereas as of January 20, 2015 there was either 100 shares or 1,000 shares.

^{*6} There were two PTS as of January 20, 2015: Japannext PTS (commenced operations on August 20, 2007) operated by SBI Japannext Co., Ltd. and Chi-X Japan (commenced operations on July 29, 2007) operated by Chi-X Japan, Ltd.

^{*7} Apart from markets using the noted tick size, Japannext PTS is opening markets that have the same tick size as the TSE.

| Drice | | TOPIX100 d | TOPIX100 constituents | | |
|-------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------------|--------------|--|
| | Price | 2014/01/14 | 2014/07/22 | Other issues | |
| Above | Up to 1,000 yen | | 0.1 | 1 | |
| 1,000 yen | 3,000 yen | 1 | 0.5 | | |
| 3,000 yen | 5,000 yen | | 0.5 | 5 | |
| 5,000 yen | 10,000 yen | | 1 | 10 | |
| 10,000 yen | 30,000 yen | 5 | 5 | 10 | |
| 30,000 yen | 50,000 yen | | 5 | 50 | |
| 50,000 yen | 100,000 yen | 10 | 10 | 100 | |
| 100,000 yen | 300,000 yen | 50 | 50 | 100 | |
| 300,000 yen | 500,000 yen | | 50 | 500 | |
| 500,000 yen | 1 million | 100 | 100 | 1 000 | |
| 1 million | 3 million | E00 | 500 | 1,000 | |
| 3 million | 5 million | 300 | 500 | 5,000 | |
| 5 million | 10 million | 1,000 | 1,000 | 10,000 | |
| 10 million | 30 million | E 000 | E 000 | 10,000 | |
| 30 million | 50 million | | 3,000 | 50,000 | |
| 50 million | | 10,000 | 10,000 | 100,000 | |

Table2 Details of the recent change in tick size

* Units are yen.

| | | | | TSE | | |
|-------------|-----------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------------|--------------|--|
| | Price | Chi-X Japan | Japannext PTS*/ | TOPIX100 constituents | Other issues | |
| Above | Up to 1,000 yen | | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1 | |
| 1,000 yen | 3,000 yen | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.5 | | |
| 3,000 yen | 5,000 yen | - | 0.5 | 0.5 | 5 | |
| 5,000 yen | 10,000 yen | | 1 | 1 | 10 | |
| 10,000 yen | 30,000 yen | 1 | 1 | E | 10 | |
| 30,000 yen | 50,000 yen | | 5 | - 5 | 50 | |
| 50,000 yen | 100,000 yen | | 10 | 10 | 100 | |
| 100,000 yen | 300,000 yen | | 10 | 50 | 100 | |
| 300,000 yen | 500,000 yen | | 50 | . 50 | 500 | |
| 500,000 yen | 1 million | | | 100 | 1 000 | |
| 1 million | 3 million | | | 500 | 1,000 | |
| 3 million | 5 million | 10 | | 500 | 5,000 | |
| 5 million | 10 million | | 100 | 1,000 | 10,000 | |
| 10 million | 30 million | | | 5 000 | 10,000 | |
| 30 million | 50 million | | | 5,000 | 50,000 | |
| 50 million | | | | 10,000 | 100,000 | |

Table3 Tick size for PTS

* Units are yen.

3 Overview of Tick Size outside Japan

For a long time, fractions (units of 1/x per USD) were used for the tick size on the U.S. exchanges, and this used to be 1/8 of 1USD. However, in 1992, the American Stock Exchange (AMEX)*8 started to use tick sizes of 1/16 of 1USD and 1/32 of 1USD for some price bands, and the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) and NASDAQ*9 also used similar small fraction tick sizes. Subsequently, the SEC instructed exchanges within the U.S. and NASDAQ to change to tick sizes of 1 cent in January 2000. In April 2001, all the exchanges and markets that were instructed to do so were using tick sizes of 1 cent. This shift to a tick size of 1 cent in the U.S. that started in January 2000 was referred to as decimalization. Furthermore, even after decimalization there was no specific regulation concerning tick size for the Electronic Communications Network (ECN, the equivalent of Japan's PTS). Subsequently, in 2004, the SEC adopted a minimum price increment of 1 cent that also applied to ECN under Rule 612 of Regulation National Market System (Reg. NMS)*10, which is the legislation concerning comprehensive market system reforms^{*11}. This does not make a tick size of 1 cent compulsory, with each respective exchange permitted to use tick sizes larger than 1 cent. However, ultimately all exchanges have used the minimum tick size prescribed in the Reg. NMS (Table4) . However, Section 106 of the Jumpstart Our Business Startups Act, which was enacted for the promotion of new business activities in April 2012, notes that too small a tick size can be a hindrance to stimulating trading in small capitalization companies' securities with insufficient liquidity and that the small tick size could be leading to the small number of IPOs in recent years. Consequently, there are plans to implement a 1-year pilot program for a tick size of 5 cents for issues that meet certain criteria^{*12}.

| | Price | Exchanges and ECN |
|---------|------------|-------------------|
| or more | Below 1USD | 0.0001 |
| 1USD | | 0.01 |

Table4 Tick size in the US

* Units are USD (0.01USD is 1 cent).

In Europe, the EU's Market in Financial Instrument Directive (MiFID), which was enacted in

^{*8} Bought by the New York Stocks Exchange's parent company -NYSE Euronext- in 2008, and is currently an exchange under that umbrella referred to as NYSE MKT.

^{*9} Changed its status from an over-the-counter market to a national securities exchange on acquisition of a license in 2006.

^{*10} Full implementation from October 2007, following a more than 2-year transitional period adopted in June 2006.

^{*&}lt;sup>11</sup> However, 0.01 cent is the minimum value for price bands that are less than 1USD. Furthermore, although the NYSE, NASDAQ, and BATS has jointly submitted a petition for approval for tick sizes smaller than 1 cent for price bands between 1USD and 20USD to the SEC on April 30, 2010, it has not been realized.

^{*&}lt;sup>12</sup> The outline of the three terms and conditions are as follows: (1) a market capitalization of USD5 billion or less; (2) a price of at least 2USD; and (3) a daily average trading volume of 1 million shares or less.

November 2007, abolished the requirement to trade stocks only through stock exchanges that had been approved by some EU member countries. Consequently, Chi-X Europe and BATS Europe^{*13} in 2007 and 2008, respectively started operations as Multilateral Trading Facilities (MTF) handling pan-European listed issues. Each country's exchange had independently set their own tick size. However, there was awareness that the emergence of MTF would result in tick size becoming a competitive factor between trading venues, with the London Stock Exchange (LSE) reducing the tick size on FTSE100^{*14} constituent issues in March 2007 to coincide with the start of Chi-X Europe's operations. To avoid confusing changes in tick size, MTF such as BATS Europe put forward a proposal to major exchanges within Europe that they jointly standardize the tick size across Europe. Following the start of a review and discussion by the parent companies of major exchanges such as the LSE Group, NYSE Euronext^{*15}, and Deutsche Börse Group with the MTF that put forward the proposal in December 2008, the Federation of European Stock Exchanges (FESE) took over the lead in the review in March 2009 and conducted consultations up until the end of June that year. Ultimately, there was an agreement for each trading venue to adhere to a scheme for the tick size of each issue listed on an exchange in Europe to be designated from four types of tick sizes (all tiered regime)^{*16}. Furthermore, this scheme is a so-called industry rule managed predominantly by the FESE. However, the European Securities and Market Authority (ESMA) stipulated in the MiFID 2, released in October 2011^{*17}, that there would be a minimum tick size for trading in major financial products within the region. At the same time, it required the regulatory authorities in each EU member country to set appropriate tick size for their respective home country market. At present, in terms of issues listed on the LSE, issues with particularly high liquidity designated by the LSE that are FTSE100 constituents^{*18} have tick sizes that are smaller than other issues(Table5).

Looking at the Asia-Pacific region, the Australian government promoted competition between markets in March 2010 announcing that it would grant a market license to Chi-X Australia^{*20}. The Australian Securities and Investments Commission (ASIC) then released a consultation paper concerning market system reforms needed for market competition in November 2010, which also

^{*13} Purchased Chi-X Europe in 2011 with a change of name to BATS Chi-X Europe.

^{*&}lt;sup>14</sup> The share price index of the weighted average of market capitalization for the top 100 issues listed on the LSE by market capitalization.

^{*&}lt;sup>15</sup> Euronext, which started in 2000 with the merger of exchanges in Paris, Brussels, and Amsterdam, was acquired by NYSE in 2007. Furthermore, NYSE Euronext was acquired by Intercontinental Exchange (ICE) in 2013 and ceased to exist. Euronext was divested from ICE in 2014 in a new issue of public stocks.

^{*&}lt;sup>16</sup> Refer to BATS (2009) for the explanation used here.

^{*&}lt;sup>17</sup> The reform proposal for MiFID. This was adopted by the European Parliament in April 2014 at the end of nearly two and a half years of subsequent debate, and regulations are currently being formulated based on this.

^{*18} Revised quarterly based on certain criteria. This applied to 21 issues as of January 20, 2015.

^{*19} A share price index that uses the weighted average market capitalization of the next top 250 issues by market capitalization is listed on the LSE after the FTSE100 constituents.

^{*&}lt;sup>20</sup> Commenced operations in October 2011.

| Prico | | FTSE100 Cons | ETSE250 Constituents*19 | |
|-----------|--------------|----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| | rnce | high liquidity issue | Other Issues | FISE250 Constituents |
| or more | Below 0.5GBP | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 |
| 0.5GBP | 1GBP | 0.0001 | 0.0005 | 0.0005 |
| 1GBP | 5GBP | 0.0005 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
| 5GBP | 10GBP | 0.001 | 0.005 | 0.005 |
| 10GBP | 50GBP | 0.005 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| 50GBP | 100GBP | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.05 |
| 100GBP | 500GBP | 0.05 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 500GBP | 1,000GBP | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| 1,000GBP | 5,000GBP | 0.5 | 1 | 1 |
| 5,000GBP | 10,000GBP | 1 | 5 | 5 |
| 10,000GBP | | 5 | 10 | 10 |

Table5 Tick size in the UK

* Units are GBP (0.01GBP is 1 penny).

included a proposal to standardize tick size^{*21}. This paper provided a proposal to standardize tick size on the Australian Stock Exchange (ASX) and Chi-X Australia proposed the establishment of a committee for prudent discussion about the process of standardization; whereas, the National Stock Exchange of Australia (NSX) proposed that tick size be uniform regardless of the price band. The results of the consultation released by ASIC in March 2011 supported the majority view in favor of the proposal and concluded that the other trading venues should conform to the tick size at the ASX, which is standard. In regions where major exchanges for cash products are located in other parts of Asia, there is an absence of significant alternative trading venues. Thus, trading is concentrated at the exchanges and there has been no noticeable debate concerning tick size (Table6).

4 Related Studies

There has been a lot of empirical research regarding the impact of tick size on trading in the U.S. market, particularly, in relation to decimalization. The SEC (2012) took note of such research noting the features that were common to various research and analytical results. First, it states that tick size is an element for determining the tradeoff between the trading costs of investors and the profit of market makers^{*22}. It highlights that since quoted spreads cannot be smaller than the tick size, the larger the tick size the wider the gap between the best bid offer and fair price, thus increasing investors' trading cost. In contrast, market makers can generate a large profit by placing orders to the best bid offer. In addition, the SEC noted that the trading costs of investors

^{*21} The assumed objective is preventing market operators from competing in relation to tick size, thus avoiding the priority ranking of quotes being determined by differences in price with virtually no economic significance.

^{*&}lt;sup>22</sup> Investors that quote both sell and buy side of the order book and have an investment strategy of profiting from the price difference.

| Price | | Australia | Singapore | Hong Kong | South Korea |
|---------|------------|------------|----------------|-----------|-------------|
| | Frice | (ASX etc.) | (SGX) | (HKEx) | (KRX) |
| or more | Below 0.10 | 0.001 | 0.001 | | |
| 0.10 | 0.20 | | 0.001 | 0.001 | |
| 0.20 | 0.25 | | | | 0.001 |
| 0.25 | 0.5 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.005 | |
| 0.5 | 1 | | 0.003 | | |
| 1 | 2 | | | 0.01 | 0.005 |
| 2 | 5 | | | 0.01 | 0.005 |
| 5 | 10 | | | | 0.01 |
| 10 | 20 | | | 0.02 | 0.05 |
| 20 | 50 | | | 0.05 | 0.03 |
| 50 | 100 | 0.01 | 0.01 0.01 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.1 |
| 100 | 200 | | | 0.1 | 0.5 |
| 200 | 500 |] | | 0.2 | 0.5 |
| 500 | 1,000 | | | 0.5 | 1 |
| 1,000 | | 1 | | 1 | |

Table6 Tick size in the Asia-Pacific Region

* *Singapore Exchange: SGX, Hong Kong Exchanges and Clearing: HKEx, Korea Exchange: KRX.

* *Compiled from the tick size for auction trading relating to major cash products on each exchange as recorded on the home page of each exchange.

* Units are for Australia: Australian Dollar (AUD, 0.01AUD is 1 cent), Singapore: Singapore Dollar (SGD, 0.01SGD is 1 cent), Hong Kong: Hong Kong Dollars (HKD, 0.01HKD is 1 cent) and South Korea: Korean Won (KRW, indicated by 1/1,000).

have improved with the reduction in quoted spread and effective spread^{*23} as a result of tick size reduction following decimalization. However, it also notes that small market capitalization stocks listed on the NASDAQ cannot achieve statistically significant results. Furthermore, the total number of quoted shares indicated on the order book (the number of shares for orders on the order book, hereafter, the "depth") will be reduced due to tick size reduction. Trading costs are thought to increase when there is insufficient depth and a tendency for liquidity to decrease. However, investors will always be able to trade at close to fair price provided there is quick liquidity replenishment due to new orders even if depth has been reduced because of executions. Thus, the effective spread, which is the actual trading cost considering such hidden liquidity on the order book, is a better indicator than depth.

Focusing on specific research projects, Harris (1994) noted from before decimalization that tick size reduction would reduce quoted spreads while causing a reduction in depth. Therefore, he states that it is clearly positive for small lot investors who do not require enormous depth. Goldstein and Kavajecz (2000) noted that tick size reduction causes increase in trading cost for issues with insufficient liquidity and that tick size needs to consider the liquidity of an issue. The price competition for limit orders will become more active between investors by setting small tick size, and trading costs are likely to be reduced for high liquidity issues. Furthermore, they note

^{*23} The price difference between the execution price and the fair price, with the midpoint of BBO usually used to calculate the fair price. It means the spread cost actually borne by investors.

that there will be an incentive for investors to display quotes on the order book for curbing spread costs by setting large tick size, and this can prevent a decline in liquidity for low liquidity issues.

In terms of studies on decimalization, Bessembinder (2003) also noted that the quoted spread and effective spread will decline because of tick size reduction and that impact is more pronounced for issues with large market capitalization. In addition, he noted that in relation to the impact of price volatility the variance of the fluctuation rate in the midpoint of BBO^{*24} observed hourly declines following tick size reduction. Furthermore, the study looked at the variance ratio comparing the variance calculated using the observations of fluctuation rate for the data on the same time line but with different time intervals. The variance ratio*25 of the fluctuation rate of the midpoint of BBO observed hourly and daily (in the 6 hours from 10am to 4pm) was close to 1 following tick size reduction; i.e., price formation became more efficient*26. Bacidore, Battalio and Jennings (2003) projected an increase in market orders that could be immediately executed because of the reduction in the quoted spread. However, no change of statistical significance was observed in the ratio of limit orders to the total number of orders. In addition, depth declines, but the observed results of the effective spreads by order size was that effective spreads declined even for executions of large lot order of more than 10,000 shares; thus, the reduction in depth did not directly lead to increases in trading cost. Chakravarty, Panchapagesan and Wood (2005) used the trading data of institutional investors provided by Plexus^{*27} to analyze the impact that decimalization had on the trading cost of institutional investors. The result was that the trading costs fell for institutional investors as a whole, regardless of their size or investment style when comparing actual execution results and the price when making an investment decision. However, they noted that trading cost only increased in the case where all scheduled volume was executed in a short period (i.e., within one day), and that trades needed to be executed gradually to improve the trading cost.

In relation to studies about previous changes in tick size on the TSE, a similar trend to that noticed in the analysis of the U.S. markets was observed. Ahn et al. (2001) noted the analysis results of the impact on trading from the change in tick size implemented by the TSE in 1998 stating that the quoted spread and effective spread decreased with the impact particularly pronounced for high liquidity issues. In addition, the reduction in the quoted spreads means that the tick size becomes smaller and price competition between investors becomes more active for limit orders; however,

^{*24} The fluctuation rate of the midpoint of BBO was used to avoid negative impact induced by bid-ask bounce.

^{*&}lt;sup>25</sup> The variance ratio is calculated by adjusting the differences in the observed time intervals. Here, the variance ratio is calculated by dividing six-times multiple of the variance of the hourly fluctuation rate by the variance of the daily fluctuation rate.

^{*26} The closer the variance ratio to 1, the more the long-term level of fluctuation in price will be an extension of the short-term level of fluctuation. This is considered to be efficient for price formation with little short-term noise, etc. In addition, Conrad, Wahal and Xiang (2014) categorized issues by the frequency of change in BBO and noted the results of comparison of the variance ratio of the fluctuation rate in the midpoint of BBO that issues with high frequency of change were close to 1.

^{*27} A company that provides trading cost analysis services for institutional investors was acquired by J.P. Morgan Chase in 2002 and further sold to ITG (Investment Technology Group, Inc.) in 2006.

there was no change in trading value. That is, they noted that there was no link to an increase in trading volume. Uno (2014) analyzed the impact of the change in tick size implemented by the TSE in 2010 by separating issues into three groups by market capitalization. The result was that a reduction in both effective spreads and depth had a more pronounced impact on issues with large market capitalization. In addition, based on the actual average order size for each issue in December 2009, a comparison of the trading costs when executing orders of the same volume around the time that the tick size was changed indicates that there was no statistical significance for the improvement in trading costs for large lot orders (defined as order size of 10 times the average) other than for issues with large market capitalization. Nevertheless, recently, order sizes have become smaller due to the spread of algorithm trades, etc. and they state that it would be one-sided to conclude that tick size reduction does not have a positive impact on issues with small market capitalization.

In terms of the method for appraising trading cost, IS, which was advocated by Perold (1988), and variations thereof have now become the industry standard. Under IS, trading cost is defined as the difference between paper return based on the price when investors make an investment decision and the real portfolio return. Furthermore, trading cost comprises the three elements of investment-related costs, trading-related costs, and opportunity costs. Kissell (2006) went further by breaking down the trading costs involved in actual investment based on IS, as shown in Table7, and provides an explanation of each component. Delay cost is the cost incurred when the price moves following an investment decision by an investor to invest until the actual execution commences in the market and is defined as the difference between the anticipated price when the decision was made and the price immediately prior to the start of execution. Market impact cost is the cost incurred due to the difference between the price in the market immediately prior to execution and the actual price paid (hereafter, "market impact"). The market impact has a temporary impact caused by temporary movement in price due to execution and a permanent impact due to the change in the price level following the signal*28 given to other investors from the movement in price and depth caused by execution (refer to Figure 1) *²⁹. Timing cost is the ex-post factor cost attributed to the movement in price and depth when the transaction is being executed. Borkovec and Heidle (2010) refer to this as volatility cost and make comparison using the variance of the fluctuation in price^{*30}. Spread cost means the quoted spread and is the cost of not being able to execute the transaction at the price within the BBO. Opportunity cost indicates lost profit when a transaction cannot be executed because of factors such as the market not moving in the

^{*28} For example, when the price temporarily falls or when depth has fallen on the buy side because of execution by sell order and other investors predict that the price will fall based on such events.

^{*29} Breen, Hodrick and Korajczyk (2002) have attempted to estimate a linear correlation for the permanent impact using the bias for buying and selling in the trading volume for each unit of time.

^{*30} However, they note that it is extremely difficult to separate the actual price movement into the permanent impact of price movement caused by execution and the volatility cost that is the natural price movement.

anticipated direction or insufficient market liquidity*³¹.

| Brokerage Costs | Fees, Commissions |
|------------------|--------------------------|
| Investment Costs | Delay Cost, Taxes |
| Tradina Casta | Spread cost, Timing Cost |
| Trading Costs | Market Impact Cost |
| Other Costs | Opportunity Cost |

Table7 Trading cost classification

* Compiled by Sugihara (2011) based on Kissell (2006).





* Compiled by the author based on Kissell (2006).

5 Details of Analysis

5.1 Data

The main analysis in this paper uses the FLEX Full Data, which is a real time market data feed service provided by the TSE. This service distributes the present value, trading volume, trading value, and change in volume of all the quoted prices in the order book, which provides an overall picture of the change in the order book for each issue as well as how the orders were executed. The issues that are the subject of analysis are the 100 issues that make up the TOPIX100 constituents for which the tick size has changed. Furthermore, since there are changes to the constituent issues in TOPIX 100 at the end of October each year, this paper analyzes the constituent issues during the period from October 31, 2013 to October 30, 2014^{*32}. This time there were changes to the tick size broken up into Phases 1 and 2 for each price band. Thus, even within the TOPIX100

^{*31} Refer to Sugihara (2011) for the explanation used here.

^{*32} While it is possible for the constituents to change at special times such as when there are new listings, there was no such change during this period.

constituents, the timing of the change in tick size differs depending on the stock price level. TOPIX100 constituents are the top 100 issues by market capitalization and trading value among domestic stocks listed on the TSE 1st Section. As these issues share many aspects in terms of liquidity and investor type, TOPIX100 constituents affected by tick size change were compared with unaffected TOPIX100 constituents to analyze the impact of changing tick sizes near the start of Phases 1 and 2^{*33}.

In Phase 1, the tick size changed for issues in the price band of more than 3,000 yen. Thus, issues with share price of more than 3,000 yen were separated into Analysis Group A (38 issues), and issues with share price of less than or equal to 3,000 yen were separated into Analysis Group B (62 issues). In Phase 2, there was a large difference in the tick size reduction level depending on price band with reduction in the tick size from 1 yen to 0.1 yen for issues with price band below or equal to 1,000 yen and from 1 yen to 0.5 yen for issues with price band above 1,000 yen and below or equal to 5,000 yen*³⁴. Thus, issues with share price of less than or equal to 1,000 yen were separated into Analysis Group C (24 issues), issues with share price of more than 1,000 yen and less than or equal to 5,000 yen were separated into Analysis Group D (56 issues), and issues with share price of more than 5,000 yen were separated into Analysis Group E (20 issues). Furthermore, it is important to note that the tick size for Analysis Group D was reduced in stages from 5 yen to 1 yen in Phase 1 and further from 1 yen to 0.5 yen in Phase 2. Analysis Group A in Phase 1 and Analysis Groups C and D in Phase 2 are referred to as test groups; whereas, Analysis Group B in Phase 1 and Analysis and the breakdown of the Analysis Groups are provided in Table14.

5.2 Methodology

This paper considers the impact that the change in tick size had on trading cost. The categories of trading costs based on IS are shown in Table7, and trading-related cost is the only component that can be observed from the trading data at the exchange. Thus, in this paper, spread cost, timing cost, and market impact cost are compared around the time of the change in tick size. The period of data used in the analysis was one month (20 business days) before and after the first day of Phase 1 (January 14, 2014) and Phase 2 (July 22, 2014).

For spread cost, we measure it using quoted spread and effective half spread. Quoted spread qs^t is defined as the difference between the best ask price P_{ba}^t and best bid price P_{bb}^t divided by the

^{*&}lt;sup>33</sup> The comparison is made between the TOPIX100 constituents, so there is a constraint on the comparison being limited to 100 issues; whereas, there are concerns about the possibility of noise caused by the difference in price bands for share price. However, the analysis in this paper focuses on the similarity of aspects of the TOPIX100 constituents.

^{*&}lt;sup>34</sup> Although the level of tick size reduction differed according to price band in Phase 1 as well, there was a large reduction in the tick size for issues with share price of more than 3,000 yen and less than or equal to 10,000 yen, which were the majority of Analysis Group A. Thus, there was no need to break up the group further.

midpoint of BBO P_{mid}^t at each one-minute interval t^{*35} . We Compare \bar{qs} , the average quoted spread qs^t , for each issue during each period.

$$qs^{t} = \frac{P_{ba}^{t} - P_{bb}^{t}}{P_{mid}^{t}}$$
(1)

Effective half spread es^i is defined as the difference between the execution price P_{exec}^i and the midpoint of BBO just before execution P_{mid}^i divided by P_{mid}^i for each execution *i* in continuous trading^{*36}. We calculate the volume weighted effective half spread es^d using execution volume Q_{exec}^i on each business day *d*, and compare es, the average es^d , for each issue during each period.

$$es^{i} = \frac{|P_{exec}^{i} - P_{mid}^{i}|}{P_{mid}^{i}}$$
(2)

$$es^{d} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (es^{i} \times Q_{exec}^{i})}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} Q_{exec}^{i}}$$
(3)

In the calculation of es^i , execution *i* is defined as all simultaneous executions due to a single order. For executions striding more than a single price level $j(j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, m)$, volume weighted execution price based on execution shares Q_{part}^j of each execution price P_{part}^j is used for P_{exec}^i and the total of execution volume Q_{part}^j for each price is used for Q_{exec}^i .

$$Q_{exec}^{i} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} Q_{part}^{j}$$
(4)

$$P_{exec}^{i} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{m} (P_{part}^{j} \times Q_{part}^{j})}{\sum_{j=1}^{m} Q_{part}^{j}}$$
(5)

For timing cost, we follow the example of Borkovec and Heidle (2010) and use intraday volatility σ^d , defined as the standard deviation of the natural logarithm of the fluctuation rate of the midpoint of BBO at each one- and ten-minute time interval t^{*37} on each business day d. We calculate σ_1^d and σ_{10}^d at one- and ten-minute intervals respectively, and compare $\bar{\sigma}_1$ and $\bar{\sigma}_{10}$, the respective averages of σ_1^d and σ_{10}^d for each issue during each period.

^{*&}lt;sup>35</sup> Using the status of the order book at 00 seconds for each minute, while excluding data that was not in a continuous trading session such as opening-auction session. Since the TSE is in continuous trading session for five hours (300 minutes) each day, there are roughly 6,000 pieces of data for each issue during the period (20 business days).

^{*&}lt;sup>36</sup> The effective spread in accordance with such a calculation method will be at minimum half the quoted spread. Thus, it is referred to as the effective half spread.

^{*37} Since it uses the status of the order book at time 00 seconds for one minute intervals and 00 seconds for ten minute intervals during the continuous trading session, the number of *t* for each day of σ_1^d is 300, and σ_{10}^d is 30.



- * In calculating the effective half spread, the execution shares shall be the aggregate of each price when there are simultaneous executions at multiple price levels due to a single order, and the execution price shall use the weighted average price based on the execution shares for each price.
- * For example, where there is a market order to buy 400 shares and it is immediately executed with 300 shares at 201 yen and 100 shares at 202 yen, the execution shall be treated as 400 shares at 201.25 yen.

$$\mu = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^{N} (\log P_{mid}^{t} - \log P_{mid}^{t-1})$$
(6)

$$(\sigma^d)^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^N (\log P_{mid}^t - \log P_{mid}^{t-1} - \mu)^2$$
(7)

In addition, we calculated variance ratio vr^d using σ_1^d and σ_{10}^d , and compare $\bar{v}r$, the average vr^d , for each issue during each period.

$$vr^{d} = \frac{(\sigma_{10}^{d})^{2}}{10 \times (\sigma_{1}^{d})^{2}}$$
(8)





In terms of market impact cost, effective spread is used as an inherent indicator of market

impact(Refer to Figure4)^{*38}, thus we measure market impact cost using the virtual effective half spread cost to compare changes in trading cost for executing equal volume orders before and after tick size change. We Calculate the effective half spread es_{50}^{t} ^{*39}, the virtual effective half spread caused by immediate execution of market orders at Q_{50} , the 50th percentile of execution volume^{*40} for each issue in continuous trading during the period before tick size change, based on order book information, at one-minute interval *t*. ($P_{ask,1}^t, Q_{ask,1}^t$), ($P_{ask,2}^t, Q_{ask,3}^t$), ($P_{ask,3}^t, Q_{ask,3}^t$), \cdots are quoted ask prices and volumes from the midpoint of BBO at *t*, ($P_{bid,1}^t, Q_{bid,1}^t$), ($P_{bid,2}^t, Q_{bid,2}^t$), ($P_{bid,3}^t, Q_{bid,3}^t$), \cdots are for bids. We compared $e\bar{s}_{50}$, the average of es_{50}^t , for each issue during each period^{*41}.

$$Q_{50} = \sum_{x=1}^{k} Q_{ask,x}^{t} + \alpha^{t} = \sum_{y=1}^{l} Q_{bid,y}^{t} + \beta^{t} \qquad (Q_{ask,k+1}^{t} > \alpha^{t} \ge 0, \quad Q_{bid,l+1}^{t} > \beta^{t} \ge 0)$$
(9)

$$es_{50}^{t} = \frac{1}{2} (es_{50,buy}^{t} + es_{50,sell}^{t})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} (\frac{\{\sum_{x=1}^{k} (P_{ask,x}^{t} \times Q_{ask,x}^{t}) + P_{ask,k+1}^{t} \times \alpha^{t}\}/Q_{50} - P_{mid}^{t}}{P_{mid}^{t}}$$

$$+ \frac{P_{mid}^{t} - \{\sum_{y=1}^{l} (P_{bid,y}^{t} \times Q_{bid,y}^{t}) + P_{bid,l+1}^{t} \times \beta^{t}\}/Q_{50}}{P_{mid}^{t}})$$
(10)





^{*38} Effective half spread is the combination of 1/2 of the quoted spread and market impact cost.

^{*&}lt;sup>39</sup> es_{50}^t is the average value of the effective half spread $es_{50,buy}^t$ when the market order is a buy quote, and the effective half spread $es_{50,sell}^t$ when the market order is a sell quote.

^{*40} In the calculation of percentile volumes, simultaneous executions at multiple price levels due to a single order are regarded as one execution and the sum of the execution volumes at each price level is used.

^{*41} Similarly for *es*₉₀ and *es*₉₉.

6 Results

6.1 Overview of Trading

Before analyzing trading cost, we provide an overview of the trading of the TOPIX100 constituents. The daily trading value of TOPIX100 constituents on the TSE are provided in Figure5. The ratio to total trading value did not change before and after Phases 1 and 2, and while a slight drop was evident in July and August 2014, there was a recovery from September to approximately 40%, which was the level prior to Phase 1. Thus, there was no evident impact from the change in tick size.



Figure5 Transition of daily trading value of TOPIX100 constituents on TSE

* The red line indicates the ratio to the overall trading value on the TSE.

* The figures only show auction trading and do not include off-auction trading.

The number of daily orders for TOPIX100 constituents on the TSE is provided in Figure6. The ratio to total number of orders rose with the commencement of both Phases 1 and 2, suggesting that the number of orders for TOPIX100 constituents increased because of the tick size reduction. There was a further increase in the ratio from the start of Phase 2 as well, with the ratio moving at approximately 35%–40%, which is nearly twice the level prior to the start of Phase 1.

6.2 Change in Trading Cost

The comparison of quoted spread and effective spread between Analysis Groups conducted to investigate whether spread cost changed because of a change in tick size is shown in Table 8.

Comparing the periods before and after Phases 1 and 2, the quoted spread and effective spread



Figure6 Transition of daily number of orders in TOPIX100 constituents on TSE

* The number of orders are the aggregate of new orders, modification orders, and cancel orders and are calculated using internal TSE data and not the FLEX Full data.

* The red line indicates the ratio to the overall number of orders on the TSE.

* The figures only show auction trading and do not include off-auction trading.

| | Before | After | %Change | t-statistic |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | Panel A: Quoted S | Spread <i>ą</i> s(bps) | | |
| Phase 1 | | | | |
| Group A (changed) Group B (unchanged) | 14.48 12.52 | 5.96 12.50 | -56.52% +0.09% | 16.412 *** _ |
| Phase 2 | | | | |
| Group C (changed from 1 to 0.1) Group D (changed from 1 to 0.5) Group E (unchanged) | 19.27 6.44 5.25 | 4.80 4.90 5.07 | -71.94% -22.67% -1.37% | 25.758 *** 9.423 *** – |
| | Panel B: Effective Ha | alf Spread <i>ēs</i> (bps) | | |
| Phase 1 | | | | |
| Group A (changed) Group B (unchanged) | 7.06 6.19 | 2.71 6.21 | -58.26% +0.76% | 17.765 *** |
| Phase 2 | | | | |
| Group C (changed from 1 to 0.1) Group D (changed from 1 to 0.5) Group E (unchanged) | 9.74 3.12 2.28 | 2.27 2.28 2.27 | -73.94% -24.68% +1.53% | 28.603 *** 10.036 *** – |

Table8 Changes in Quoted Spread and Effective Half Spread

* Analyzed by separating the TOPIX100 constituents into groups according to whether there was change in tick size in Phases 1 and 2, respectively. The detailed definition of the Analysis Groups is provided in Section 5.1 (Number of issues: A...38, B...62, C...24, D...56, and E...20).

* The figures are the average for each analysis group of the average for each issue, and the average for each analysis group of the average change for each issue in the period before and after.

* t-statistics are obtained using a two-tailed t-test symmetric about zero of the difference in % change between test groups and control groups. *, ** and *** indicate 10%, 5% and 1% significance levels respectively.

of the test groups both decreased substantially. Particularly, in relation to Phase 2, in Analysis Group C where the tick size was changed from 1 yen to 0.1 yen, the change in the quoted spread was as much as -90%, with an average of -71.94% and a substantial reduction in quoted spread for many issues due to the smaller tick size. In contrast, the tick size was changed from 1 yen to 0.5 yen for Analysis Group D; thus, the change in quoted spread was a maximum of -50% with an average of -22.67%. There were also a certain number of issues not affected by the tick size reduction in terms of spread size. In addition, the effective half spread for individual executions was at minimum; i.e., approximately half of the quoted spread on the order book immediately prior to execution. For the test groups, the average effective half spread following the change in tick size was about half of the average quoted spread; thus, the majority of executions were only BBO even after tick size reduction, suggesting that there was virtually no market impact caused by striding more than a single price level. We analyze the trend for the change in spread cost by issue in the next section. Furthermore, the Value-Based effective spread calculated by multiplying the effective half spread (before dividing by the midpoint of BBO and converting to bps) by execution shares^{*42} aggregated for all TOPIX100 constituents and each analysis group is presented in Table9.

The ratio of Value-Based effective spread relative to the trading value for all TOPIX100 constituents fell from 5.55bp before the start of Phase 1 to 1.79bp following the start of Phase 2. Converting this difference of 3.76bp to the value of average daily trading value^{*43} of all TOPIX100 constituents is 397 million yen, or 99.2 billion yen when converted to an annual figure of 250 business days.

| | Effective Half Spread (JPY 100 mil.) | | | Ratio to Trading Value (bps) | | |
|--|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | Before | After | Change | Before | After | Change |
| Phase 1 | | | | | | |
| All TOPIX100 constituents Group A (changed) Group B (unchanged) | 7.04 3.53 3.52 | 5.85 1.46 4.39 | -1.20 -2.07 +0.87 | 5.55 5.37 5.73 | 4.17 2.08 6.25 | -1.38 -3.29 +0.52 |
| Phase 2 | | | | | | |
| All TOPIX100 constituents Group C (changed from 1 to 0.1) Group D (changed from 1 to 0.5) Group E (unchanged) | 2.93 1.62 0.95 0.35 | 1.48 0.37 0.72 0.39 | -1.45 -1.25 -0.24 +0.04 | 3.54 8.31 2.37 1.53 | 1.79 1.91 1.82 1.63 | -1.75 -6.39 -0.54 +0.10 |

Table9 Changes in Value-Based Effective Half Spread

* Analyzed by separating the TOPIX100 constituents into groups according to whether there was change in tick size in Phases 1 and 2, respectively. The detailed definition of the Analysis Groups is provided in Section 5.1 (Number of issues: A...38, B...62, C...24, D...56, and E...20).

* The figures are the average for each analysis group of the average for each issue, and the average for each analysis group of the average change for each issue in the period before and after.

* Ratio for trading value is calculated by dividing total value-based effective half spread by total trading value in each group.

^{*42} This signifies the trading cost actually paid by investors by comparing the actual trading value and the trading value assuming transactions were executed at the midpoint of BBO immediately prior to the execution.

^{*43} The daily average trading value of all TOPIX100 constituents during the period from October 31, 2013 to October 30, 2014 was JPY1,057 billion.

Next, Table10 indicates the results of the comparison of the intraday volatility between analysis groups to examine whether the change in tick size changed timing cost.

| | Before | After | %Change | t-statistic | | | |
|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|
| Panel A: One-Minute Volatility $\bar{\sigma_1}$ (bps) | | | | | | | |
| Phase 1 | | | | | | | |
| Group A (changed) Group B (unchanged) | 6.64 6.32 | 7.63 8.63 | +15.41% +37.78% | 6.420 *** | | | |
| Phase 2 | | | | | | | |
| Group C (changed from 1 to 0.1) Group D (changed from 1 to 0.5) Group E (unchanged) | 5.86 5.08 4.86 | 5.55 5.25 5.21 | -5.14% +4.56% +7.38% | 4.259 *** 1.023 _ | | | |
| | Panel B: Ten-Minute | Volatility σ_{10} (bps) | | | | | |
| Phase 1 | | | | | | | |
| Group A (changed) Group B (unchanged) | 17.33 17.17 | 22.04 23.00 | +27.15% +35.97% | 2.179 ** | | | |
| Phase 2 | | | | | | | |
| Group C (changed from 1 to 0.1) Group D (changed from 1 to 0.5) Group E (unchanged) | 14.68 13.45 13.31 | 15.98 14.69 14.84 | +10.07% +10.65% +11.85% | 0.399 0.345 - | | | |

Table10 Changes in Intraday Volatility

⁺ Analyzed by separating the TOPIX100 constituents into groups according to whether there was change in tick size in Phases 1 and 2, respectively. The detailed definition of the Analysis Groups is provided in Section 5.1 (Number of issues: A...38, B...62, C...24, D...56, and E...20).

* The figures are the average for each analysis group of the average for each issue, and the average for each analysis group of the average change for each issue in the period before and after.

* t-statistics are obtained using a two-tailed t-test symmetric about zero of the difference in % change between test groups and control groups. *, ** and *** indicate 10%, 5% and 1% significance levels respectively.

The period following the start of Phase 1 experienced volatile fluctuations such as the drop in share prices on February 4⁴⁴; thus, a comparison with the prior period indicates an increase in intraday volatility for all analysis groups. However, even under such circumstances, the decline in volatility for the test groups had a 1% significance level for one-minute volatility and a 5% significance level for ten-minute volatility. Before and after the start of Phase 2, the one-minute volatility fell with a 1% significance level for Analysis Group C, which was subject to a large reduction in tick size; however, there was no significant change for Analysis Group D and there were no significant changes for any of the groups in relation to ten-minute volatility. From these results we can deduce that tick size reduction constrained the variation (lowered the standard deviation) in the intraday short-term fluctuation rate for the midpoint of BBO. There was a small reduction in the level of tick size for Analysis Group D, which is thought to have led to no significant change. A specific example of a change in the intraday movement in BBO due to tick size reduction is demonstrated in Figure7. When the ratio between price and tick size is large, the price of stocks are unable to reflect small movements and examination of one-minute intervals indicates there were many periods with no change in BBO. However, there are large movements

^{*44} The Nikkei 225 average dropped 610.66 points from the previous day on February 4.

where there are fluctuations and the variance of the fluctuation rate for the midpoint of oneminute intervals becomes larger. In contrast, when the ratio between price and tick size is small, the BBO frequently changes even at one-minute intervals reflecting the small movements in price. However, the variance of the fluctuation rate for the midpoint of the one-minute intervals becomes small to sequentially reflect the price movements. The longer the observation period for the price, the larger the movement in price per unit of time. As it is difficult for price to be constrained by the magnitude of the tick size, the impact of tick size reduction is reduced. Furthermore, Chart11 demonstrates the variance ratio calculated from one- and ten-minute volatility, and indicates how the variance ratio of the test groups approached 1 due to a decline in short-term volatility.



Figure7 Example of changes in Intraday BBO price movement

* Example of Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group (Issuer Code: 8306).

* BBO price movement every minute. The time on the X-axis is in HHMMSS format.

| | Variance Ratio <i>v</i> r | | 1 - vr | |
|---|---------------------------|---|----------------------|----------------------|
| | Before | After | Before | After |
| Phase 1 | | | | |
| Group A (changed) Group B (unchanged) | 0.82 0.86 | $\begin{array}{c} 0.91 \\ 0.84 \end{array}$ | 0.21 0.18 | 0.15 0.20 |
| Phase 2 | | | | |
| Group C (changed from 1 to 0.1) Group D (changed from 1 to 0.5) Group E (unchanged) | 0.79 0.83 0.87 | 0.91 0.88 0.89 | 0.22 0.20 0.18 | 0.17 0.18 0.17 |

| Table11 | Changes | in | Variance | Ratio |
|---------|---------|----|----------|-------|
| TableTT | Changes | ш | variance | Natio |

* Analyzed by separating the TOPIX100 constituents into groups according to whether there was change in tick size in Phases 1 and 2, respectively. The detailed definition of the Analysis Groups is provided in Section 5.1 (Number of issues: A...38, B...62, C...24, D...56, and E...20).

* The figures are the average for each analysis group of the average for each issue. |1 - vr| is the average for each analysis group of the absolute value of the difference between the daily variance ratio vr^d and 1 for each issue.

Lastly, to examine whether the change in tick size had an impact on market impact cost, we first present the results of the analysis of the status of executions in the subject period in Table12.

While no significant change in daily trading volume was evident for test groups, there was an increase in the number of daily executions as well as a reduction in the execution shares per execution. This was particularly noticeable in Analysis Group A in Phase 1 and Analysis Group

| | Before | After | %Change | t-statistic |
|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | Panel A: Trading v | olume (ahare) | | |
| Phase 1 | | | | |
| Group A (changed) Group B (unchanged) | 2,773,235 12,657,233 | 3,074,274 15,029,514 | +13.57% +18.49% | 0.764 |
| Phase 2 | | | | |
| Group C (changed from 1 to 0.1) Group D (changed from 1 to 0.5) Group E (unchanged) | 15,947,471 3,132,477 1,404,508 | 17,712,127 3,139,599 1,461,076 | +8.71% +2.00% +10.32% | 0.219 1.545 - |
| | Panel B: Number | of executions | | |
| Phase 1 | | | | |
| Group A (changed) Group B (unchanged) | 2,227 2,842 | 5,378 3,544 | +128.64% +27.42% | -9.727 *** _ |
| Phase 2 | | | | |
| Group C (changed from 1 to 0.1) Group D (changed from 1 to 0.5) Group E (unchanged) | 1,764 2,723 3,265 | 4,425 3,566 3,417 | +157.06% +36.56% +7.80% | -11.538 *** -3.982 *** - |
| Panel | C: Execution size: 50 | 0th percentile (sha | res) | |
| Phase 1 | | | | |
| Group A (changed) Group B (unchanged) | 264 908 | 227 898 | -17.33% -1.82% | 5.816 *** |
| Phase 2 | | | | |
| Group C (changed from 1 to 0.1) Group D (changed from 1 to 0.5) Group E (unchanged) | 1,426 405 123 | 1,130 364 127 | -20.19% -12.60% +2.89% | 3.648 *** 6.908 *** - |
| Panel | D: Execution size: 9 | 0th percentile (sha | res) | |
| Phase 1 | | - | | |
| Group A (changed) Group B (unchanged) | 1,905 7,108 | 995 7,826 | -45.54% +3.45% | 16.086 *** |
| Phase 2 | | | | |
| Group C (changed from 1 to 0.1) Group D (changed from 1 to 0.5) Group E (unchanged) | 13,073 2,331 563 | 7,029 1,776 585 | -47.03% -23.82% +4.00% | 5.967 **: 12.183 **: – |
| Panel | E: Execution size: 99 | 9th percentile (sha | res) | |
| Phase 1 | | | | |
| Group A (changed) Group B (unchanged) | 8,891 42,547 | 3,172 48,682 | -57.19% +0.16% | 15.509 *** _ |
| Phase 2 | | | | |
| Group C (changed from 1 to 0.1) Group D (changed from 1 to 0.5) Group E (unchanged) | 90,883 9,025 1,876 | 31,470 6,227 2,005 | -68.07% -27.03% +7.59% | 16.609 *** 10.050 *** – |

Table12 Daily trading volumes, the number of executions, and the change in execution shares per single execution

* Analyzed by separating the TOPIX100 constituents into groups according to whether there was change in tick size in Phases 1 and 2, respectively. The detailed definition of the Analysis Groups is provided in Section 5.1 (Number of issues: A...38, B...62, C...24, D...56, and E...20).

* The figures are the average for each analysis group of the average for each issue, and the average for each analysis group of the average change for each issue in the period before and after.

* Execution shares shall be the aggregate of each price when there are simultaneous executions at multiple price levels due to a single order.

* t-statistics are obtained using a two-tailed t-test symmetric about zero of the difference in % change between test groups and control groups. *, ** and *** indicate 10%, 5% and 1% significance levels respectively.

C in Phase 2 with, on average, a doubling of the number of execution shares, suggesting a sharp contraction in the size of executions due to tick size reduction. In addition, there was a decline in the level of significance for execution shares at the 50th percentile, 90th percentile, and 99th percentile. Although, the change in the 50th percentile was not comparatively large, there was a decline of approximately 40%–50% for the 90th percentile and approximately 60% for the 99th percentile. These results indicated that while there was not a large change in the execution size that accounts for half of the number of executions because the execution size was as small as it could be before the reduction, the tick size reduction led to an increase in small lot transactions for comparatively large executions even though there was not a large number of such executions.

Table13 indicates the virtual effective half spread for each issue in the period before Phase 1 and the start of Phase 2; i.e., it compares the trading cost including market impact for executing equal volume orders before and after tick size change^{*45}.

| | Before | After | %Change | t-statistic |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Panel A: Effective half spread a | t 50th percentile of | execution size befo | ore tick size change | 2\$\vec{s}_{50}(bps) |
| Phase 1 | | | | |
| Group A (changed) Group B (unchanged) | 7.24 6.27 | 3.05 6.27 | -55.66% +0.21% | 16.398 *** _ |
| Phase 2 | | | | |
| Group C (changed from 1 to 0.1) Group D (changed from 1 to 0.5) Group E (unchanged) | 9.64 3.23 2.63 | 2.47 2.49 2.54 | -71.02% -21.53% -1.35% | 24.917 *** 8.890 *** – |
| Panel B: Effective half spread a | t 90th percentile of | execution size befo | ore tick size changee | <i>₂s</i> ₉₀ (bps) |
| Phase 1 | | | | |
| Group A (changed) Group B (unchanged) | 7.60 6.69 | 4.62 6.81 | -37.89% +2.60% | 13.139 *** |
| Phase 2 | | | | |
| Group C (changed from 1 to 0.1) Group D (changed from 1 to 0.5) Group E (unchanged) | 9.82 3.61 3.11 | 3.68 3.15 2.98 | -57.35% -11.39% -2.57% | 15.677 *** 4.067 *** – |
| Panel C: Effective half spread a | t 99th percentile of | execution size befo | ore tick size change | 2599(bps) |
| Phase 1 | 1 | | 0 | |
| Group A (changed) Group B (unchanged) | 9.34 8.55 | 9.77 9.17 | +4.00% +8.57% | 1.554 |
| Phase 2 | | | | |
| Group C (changed from 1 to 0.1) Group D (changed from 1 to 0.5) Group E (unchanged) | 11.12 5.27 4.65 | 8.61 5.22 4.35 | -15.96% -0.20% -5.73% | 2.053 ** -2.751 *** _ |

Table13 Changes in virtual effective half spread by order size

⁺ Analyzed by separating the TOPIX100 constituents into groups according to whether there was change in tick size in Phases 1 and 2, respectively. The detailed definition of the Analysis Groups is provided in Section 5.1 (Number of issues: A...38, B...62, C...24, D...56, and E...20).

* The figures are the average for each analysis group of the average for each issue, and the average for each analysis group of the average change for each issue in the period before and after.

* t-statistics are obtained using a two-tailed t-test symmetric about zero of the difference in % change between test groups and control groups. *, ** and *** indicate 10%, 5% and 1% significance levels respectively.

^{*45} Detailed definition is referred in Section 5.2.

The virtual effective half spread for the test groups when executing market orders for the number of shares in the 50th percentile and the 90th percentile of the execution shares before tick size reduction fell with a 1% significance level following tick size reduction. For order sizes in the 99th percentile, there was no change of significance for Analysis Group A in Phase 1, a decline with a 5% significance level for Analysis Group C in Phase 2, and a rise with a 1% significance level for Analysis Group D. As the quoted spread declined in the test groups, without a change in the quoted shares in the vicinity of BBO the effective half spread should fall when the same number of shares is executed for the market impact to be the same. The lack of significant change in the virtual effective half spread for order sizes in the 99th percentile for Analysis Group A signifies that the quoted shares in the vicinity of BBO fell due to the tick size reduction and the effective spread would be at the same level as in the past to offset the impact of the reduction in quoted spread due to the need for many more prices (a larger market impact) when executing large sized orders striding more than a single price level. However, for Analysis Group C in Phase 2, there was a very large ratio between price and tick size for low price bands of less than 500 yen in particular; thus, the quoted shares in the vicinity of BBO were at comparatively high levels even after tick size reduction for issues in those price bands. It is thought that the virtual effective half spread also fell for orders in the 99th percentile. In contrast, the effective spread rose for order sizes in the 99th percentile for Analysis Group D meaning that the increase in market impact was greater than the reduction in quoted spread when executing large sized orders. Nevertheless, as shown in Table 12, the 99th percentile for execution shares for Analysis Group D fell, on average, i.e., approximately by 27%; thus, the effective spread avoided deterioration due to investors slicing their orders. As a result, the effective half spread actually declined, as shown in Table8.

6.3 Change in Spread Cost by Issue

In the previous section, the paper examined the trend for change in all TOPIX100 constituents in relation to trading-related cost under the IS method due to the change in tick size. In this section, we look at spread cost, which is considered to have a particularly strong relationship with tick size among the different measures of trading cost, by analyzing the trend in the change by issue. First, Figure8 compares the change in quoted spread before and after the tick size reduction and the BBO quoted shares before tick size reduction for the test groups. There was a tendency for larger reduction in quoted spread on issues that had larger BBO quoted shares before the tick size reduction. Furthermore, there were many issues within Analysis Group D with comparatively smaller BBO quoted shares before reduction. We believe this is related to the gradual change in the tick size in Phases 1 and 2 for issues within Analysis Group D with share prices of more than 3,000 yen and less than 5,000 yen. Figure9 compares the change in quoted spread and the change in BBO quoted shares before and after tick size reduction. The larger the reduction in BBO quoted shares,

the larger is the reduction in quoted spread on issues. From this trend, approximately 40%*⁴⁶ of the issues in Analysis Group D had a reduction in quoted spread together with a reduction in BBO quoted shares in Phase 1; thus, it is thought that the BBO quoted shares had shrunk prior to Phase 2. Furthermore, there was also a large reduction in BBO quoted shares for issues that had a large decline in quoted spread; thus, the situation was conducive to market impact when executing orders that raised concerns of a negative impact on trading cost. However, there was a large decline in effective spread for issues that recorded a substantial decline in BBO quoted shares, as shown in Figure 10. Thus, the majority of orders were executed at BBO or thereabouts and there was no major impediment to executing orders from the perspective of trading cost. Lastly, Figure11 indicates the quoted spread in unit of yen*47 after the beginning of Phase 2 for each issue in the price band below 5,000 yen that implemented below 1 yen tick sizes of 0.1 yen and 0.5 yen in Phase 2. Obviously, the higher an issue's share price the larger the quoted spread in unit of yen. Thus, for a tiered regime of tick size, as used by the TSE, it is appropriate to set tick size and price band so that the quoted spread on most of the issues in a certain price band will not exceed the tick size in one price band higher. Almost all the issues (23 of 24 issues) in the price band below 1,000 yen have quoted spreads of below 0.5 yen. In addition, approximately 70% (24 or 35 issues) with price bands of more than 1,000 yen and less than 3,000 yen that changed to a tick size of 0.5 yen this time, and had a tick size of 1 yen before the change, have quoted spreads below 1 yen. However, there are few (2 of 21 issues) with quoted spread of below 1 yen with price bands of more than 3,000 yen and less than 5,000 yen that had tick size of 5 yen before Phase 1.

7 Conclusion

This paper analyzes the impact on trading from the change in tick size for TOPIX100 constituents implemented in January and July 2014 using the FLEX Full data (the real time market data feed service provided by the TSE) and examines whether the objective to improve the trading costs for investors has been achieved. In analyzing the trading cost, comparison was made of the trading-related costs in the IS that can be observed in stock exchange trading data before and after the tick size change; i.e., by taking the respective quoted spread, effective spread, intraday volatility, and the calculation of effective spread for order size for each of spread cost, timing cost, and market impact as an assessment index. The quoted spread and effective spread fell for all issues following tick size reduction, and the effective half spread for all TOPIX100 constituents fell from 5.55bp to 1.79bp, which was a decline of 397 million yen per day on a value basis. In addition, comparison of the volatility of the fluctuation rate for the midpoint of BBO every one-

^{*46 21} of the 56 issues in Analysis Group D had a base price of more than 3,000 yen and less than 5,000 yen on July 22, which was the first day of Phase 2.

^{*47} The price difference between the sell side and buy side of BBO before deducting the midpoint of BBO and converting to bps.



Figure8 Comparison of the reduction in average quoted spread and average BBO quoted shares

* Average BBO quoted shares (value base) is the average value calculated by multiplying the total number of BBO quoted shares by the BBO midpoint every minute.

Figure9 Comparison of the reduction in average quoted spread and the reduction in average BBO quoted shares



* The reduction in the average BBO quoted shares is the extent of reduction in the average value calculated by multiplying the total number of BBO quoted shares by the BBO midpoint every minute before and after the period.



Figure10 Comparison of the Reduction in Average effective half spread and the reduction in average BBO quoted shares

* The reduction in the average BBO quoted shares is the extent of reduction in the average value calculated by multiplying the total number of BBO quoted shares by the BBO midpoint every minute before and after the period.



Figure11 The average quoted spread for issues in price bands with decimal tick size

* The Average BBO midpoint is the average of the midpoint of BBO calculated every minute during the period used in the analysis .

* Within the TOPIX100 constituents, the red dots indicate issues belonging to the TOPIX Core30, while the blue dots indicate issues belonging to the TOPIX Large70.

and ten-minute indicates a larger downward trend in one-minute volatility following tick size reduction. In the calculation of the effective spread by order size using order book information, no deterioration was evident in effective spread following tick size reduction, apart from some issues, even for extremely large-sized orders. These results confirmed the decline in trading-related costs in IS following the change in tick size.

However, issues that suffered a large decline in quoted spread also suffered a large decline in BBO quoted shares coupled with an increase in the number of prices in the order book due to tick size reduction, and it became harder to confirm the market trends by looking at the order book on the screen such as on a computer. In particular, there is no denying the possibility of reduced convenience in trading that cannot be measured in the aforementioned trading cost, especially for those investors placing orders by hand. There was concern that the reduction in the quoted spread itself would lead to a decline in profit margins for some investors such as market makers, and the assessment differed depending on the aspects of investors based on matters such as investment strategies and order methods. In addition, the increase in the number of orders and the number of executions led to an increase in the frequency of quoted shares on BBO updates in the order book. Thus, it is simplistic to justify there being more stability in price formation based on the reduction in the volatility of the fluctuation rate of the BBO midpoint per unit of time. In relation to effective spread, even if the figures are the same, the case that there was execution only because of BBO and the case of multiple prices striding more than a single price level could possibly have a different impact on the subsequent price formation (permanent impact).

Considering the trading changes implemented in Phases 1 and 2, the TSE once again considered appropriate tick size and plans to make a further change in tick size in Phase 3 on September 24, 2015. The results of the analysis in this paper provide three suggestions ahead of Phase 3. First, since a correlation is evident between the size of the BBO quoted shares before the tick size reduction and the reduction in the quoted spread when tick size was reduced, we cannot expect a large reduction in quoted spread for issues with insufficient liquidity even if the tick size is reduced. The effective spread will not decline without a reduction in quoted spread; thus, the objective of improved trading cost cannot be achieved. Second, since quoted spread reduction accompanies the decline in the BBO quoted shares, the improvement in the trading cost for TOPIX100 constituents with the decline in quoted spread in Phases 1 and 2 means that it will be hard to expect further improvements in trading costs even if tick size falls further since BBO quoted shares have already fallen because of the quoted spread reduction Phase 1 and 2. Therefore, there appears to be little scope for further improvement in trading costs due to tick size reduction for the TOPIX100 constituents. Third, since for some price bands the quoted spread in units of yen for the majority of TOPIX100 constituents is larger than the tick size for one level higher price band, from the perspective of setting an appropriate tick size, consideration also needs to be given to a slight widening of the tick size for some price bands in relation to the tick size that was implemented for TOPIX100 constituents in Phases 1 and 2.

As noted at the beginning of this paper, there are adverse effects if the tick size is either too large or too small; thus, it is desirable to set the appropriate size. However, there is not necessarily a unique determination for tick size, and the desired level will differ depending on the aspects of the investors. In overseas markets, the regulatory authorities have led the way toward unified tick sizes at trading venues within the same region. However, in Japan, each trading venue independently sets its own tick size, and difference in tick size can influence investor choice of trading venue. However, the setting of tick size in a biased way toward increasing the convenience of specific investors to promote increased trading can lose the market's social function of discovering the appropriate price through participation by various investors with different investment strategies. There has been an increase in the number of trading venues in the U.S. and Europe in recent years with increased market fragmentation; however, a large part of trading in Japan continues to be executed on the TSE market. As such, the trading rules must consider investor diversity. For matters such as tick sizes, opinions should be sought from a wide range of investors while continuing to monitor trading patterns so that the necessary revisions are made to reflect changes in the market environment.

References

- Ahn, Hee-Joon, Jun Cai, Kalok Chan and Yasushi Hamano, (2001) "Tick Size Change and Liquidity Provision on the Tokyo Stock Exchange" working paper, Hong Kong University of Science and Technology.
- Bacidore, Jeffrey, Robert H. Battalio and Robert H. Jennings, (2003) "Order Submission Strategies, Liquidity Supply, and Trading in Pennies on the New York Stock Exchange" *Journal of Financial Markets*, Vol. 6, No. 3, pp. 337–362.
- BATS Trading Limited, (2009) "Pan-European Tick Size Pilot: Analysis of Results" (http:// cdn.batstrading.com/resources/participant_resources/BATSEuro_Tick_Size_Paper.pdf).
- Bessembinder, Hendrik, (2003) "Trade Execution Costs and Market Quality after Decimalization" *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*, Vol. 38, No. 4, pp. 747–777.
- Borkovec, Milan, and Hans G. Heidle, (2010) "Building and Evaluating a Transaction Cost Model: A Primer" *The Journal of Trading*, Vol. 5, No. 2, pp. 57–77.
- Breen, William J., Laurie Simon Hodrick and Robert A. Korajczyk, (2002) "Predicting Equity Liquidity" *Management Science*, Vol. 48, No. 4, pp. 470–483.
- Chakravarty, Sugato, Venkatesh Panchapagesan and Robert A. Wood, (2005) "Did Decimalization Hurt Institutional Investors?" *Journal of Financial Markets*, Vol. 8, No. 4, pp. 400–420.
- Conrad, Jennifer, Sunil Wahal and Jin Xiang, (2014) "High Frequency Quoting, Trading, and the Efficiency of Prices" JPX working paper Vol. 6(http://www.jpx.co.jp/general-information/ research-study/ncd3se0000006ht-att/JPX_working_paper_No.6.pdf).
- Goldstein, Michael A., and Kenneth A. Kavajecz, (2000) "Eighths, Sixteenths, and Market Depth: Changes in Tick Size and Liquidity Provision on the NYSE" *Journal of Financial Economics*, Vol. 56, pp. 125–149.
- Harris, Lawrence E., (1994) "Minimum Price Variations, Discrete Bid-Ask Spreads, and Quotation Sizes" *Review of Financial Studies*, Vol. 7, No. 1, pp. 149–178.
- Jun Uno, (2014)「株式市場統合の現状と課題」,『証券アナリストジャーナル』,第52巻,第2号, 16-23頁.
- Kissell, Robert, (2006) "The Expanded Implementation Shortfall: Understanding Transaction Cost Components" *THe Journal of Trading*, Vol. 1, No. 3, pp. 6–16.
- Perold, André F., (1988) "The Implementation Shortfall: Paper versus Reality" Journal of Portfolio Management, Vol. 14, No. 3, pp. 4–9.
- U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, (2012) "Report to Congress on Decimalization" (http://www.sec.gov/news/studies/2012/decimalization-072012.pdf).
- Yoshihiko Sugihara, (2011)「取引コストの削減を巡る市場参加者の取り組み: アルゴリズム取引と代替 市場の活用」,日本銀行金融研究所『金融研究』,第 30 巻,第 2 号, 29-88 頁.

| | | Raca D- | ico(Von) | Analyza | c Crour |
|------|---|----------------|-----------|---------|---------|
| Code | Name | 2014/1/17 | 2014/7/22 | Phase 1 | Phase 2 |
| 1605 | INPEX CORPORATION | 1,286 | 1,545 | В | D |
| 1878 | DAITO TRUST CONSTRUCTION CO.,LTD. | 9,940 | 12,075 | А | Е |
| 1925 | DAIWA HOUSE INDUSTRY CO.,LTD. | 1,973 | 2,143 | В | D |
| 1928 | Sekisui House,Ltd. | 1,510 | 1,414 | В | D |
| 1963 | JGC CORPORATION | 4,005 | 3,083 | А | D |
| 2502 | Asahi Group Holdings,Ltd. | 2,839 | 3,180 | В | D |
| 2503 | Kirin Holdings Company, Limited | 1,435 | 1,454 | В | D |
| 2802 | Ajinomoto Co.,Inc. | 1,470 | 1,557 | В | D |
| 2914 | JAPAN TOBACCO INC. | 3,195 | 3,760 | А | D |
| 3382 | Seven & I Holdings Co.,Ltd. | 4,395 | 4,424 | А | D |
| 3402 | TORAY INDUSTRIES, INC. | 702 | 688 | В | С |
| 3407 | ASAHI KASEI CORPORATION | 846 | 796 | В | С |
| 4063 | Shin-Etsu Chemical Co.,Ltd. | 5,860 | 6,250 | А | Е |
| 4188 | Mitsubishi Chemical Holdings Corporation | 473 | 446 | В | С |
| 4452 | Kao Corporation | 3,300 | 4,245 | А | D |
| 4502 | Takeda Pharmaceutical Company Limited | 4,820 | 4,715 | А | D |
| 4503 | Astellas Pharma Inc. | 6,300 | 1,394 | А | D |
| 4523 | Eisai Co.,Ltd. | 3,990 | 4,256 | А | D |
| 4568 | DAIICHI SANKYO COMPANY,LIMITED | 2,006 | 1,895 | В | D |
| 4578 | Otsuka Holdings Co.,Ltd. | 3,180 | 3,270 | В | D |
| 4661 | ORIENTAL LAND CO.,LTD. | 15,100 | 18,845 | А | Е |
| 4901 | FUJIFILM Holdings Corporation | 3,065 | 2,910 | В | D |
| 4911 | Shiseido Company,Limited | 1,658 | 1,983 | В | D |
| 5020 | JX Holdings,Inc. | 527 | 542 | В | С |
| 5108 | BRIDGESTONE CORPORATION | 3,945 | 3,832 | А | D |
| 5201 | Asahi Glass Company,Limited | 637 | 596 | В | С |
| 5401 | NIPPON STEEL & SUMITOMO METAL CORPORATION | 340 | 305 | В | С |
| 5411 | JFE Holdings,Inc. | 2,359 | 2,110 | В | D |
| 5713 | Sumitomo Metal Mining Co.,Ltd. | 1,385 | 1,745 | В | D |
| 5802 | Sumitomo Electric Industries, Ltd. | 1,747 | 1,497 | В | D |
| 6273 | SMC CORPORATION | 28,000 | 27,395 | А | Е |
| 6301 | KOMATSU LTD. | 2,064 | 2,331 | В | D |
| 6326 | KUBOTA CORPORATION | 1,755 | 1,376 | В | D |
| 6367 | DAIKIN INDUSTRIES,LTD. | 6,260 | 6,795 | А | Е |
| 6501 | Hitachi,Ltd. | 846 | 764 | В | С |
| 6502 | TOSHIBA CORPORATION | 469 | 472 | В | С |
| 6503 | Mitsubishi Electric Corporation | 1,325 | 1,311 | В | D |
| 6594 | NIDEC CORPORATION | 10,650 | 6,535 | А | E |
| 6702 | FUJITSU LIMITED | 544 | 768 | В | С |
| 6752 | Panasonic Corporation | 1,338 | 1,208 | В | D |
| 6758 | SONY CORPORATION | 1,835 | 1,688 | В | D |
| 6861 | KEYENCE CORPORATION | 44,750 | 43,160 | А | Е |
| 6902 | DENSO CORPORATION | 5 <i>,</i> 590 | 4,753 | А | D |
| 6954 | FANUC CORPORATION | 17,800 | 17,280 | А | Е |
| 6971 | KYOCERA CORPORATION | 5,130 | 4,930 | А | D |
| 6981 | MURATA MANUFACTURING COMPANY,LTD. | 9,860 | 9,828 | А | Е |
| 6988 | NITTO DENKO CORPORATION | 4,355 | 4,730 | А | D |
| 7011 | Mitsubishi Heavy Industries,Ltd. | 686 | 654 | В | С |
| 7201 | NISSAN MOTOR CO.,LTD. | 942 | 992 | В | С |
| 7202 | ISUZU MOTORS LIMITED | 641 | 677 | В | С |

Table14 TOPIX100 Constituents

| Code | Name | Base Pr | ice(Yen) | Analysi | s Group |
|------|---|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|
| | | 2014/1/17 | 2014/7/22 | Phase I | Phase 2 |
| 7203 | IOYOTA MOTOR CORPORATION | 6,290 | 6,049 | A | E |
| 7267 | HUNDA MOTOR COLLID. | 4,265 | 3,601 | A | D |
| 7269 | SUZUKI MOTOR CORPORATION | 2,905 | 3,311 | В | D |
| 7270 | Fuji Heavy Industries Ltd. | 3,045 | 2,926 | В | D |
| 7731 | NIKON CORPORATION | 1,954 | 1,546 | В | D |
| 7741 | HOYA CORPORATION | 3,015 | 3,438 | В | D |
| 7751 | CANON INC. | 3,235 | 3,393 | A | D |
| 7752 | RICOH COMPANY,LTD. | 1,124 | 1,167 | В | D |
| 7912 | Dai Nippon Printing Co.,Ltd. | 1,102 | 1,063 | В | D |
| 7974 | Nintendo Co.,Ltd. | 16,080 | 12,560 | А | Е |
| 8001 | ITOCHU Corporation | 1,316 | 1,310 | В | D |
| 8002 | Marubeni Corporation | 752 | 736 | В | С |
| 8031 | MITSUI & CO.,LTD. | 1,473 | 1,656 | В | D |
| 8035 | Tokyo Electron Limited | 5,740 | 7,162 | А | Е |
| 8053 | SUMITOMO CORPORATION (SUMITOMO SHOJI KAISHA,LTD.) | 1,318 | 1,368 | В | D |
| 8058 | Mitsubishi Corporation | 1,994 | 2,152 | В | D |
| 8113 | UNICHARM CORPORATION | 5,910 | 6,238 | А | Е |
| 8267 | AEON CO.,LTD. | 1,445 | 1,161 | В | D |
| 8306 | Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group,Inc. | 686 | 604 | В | С |
| 8308 | Resona Holdings, Inc. | 550 | 585 | В | С |
| 8309 | Sumitomo Mitsui Trust Holdings,Inc. | 552 | 458 | В | С |
| 8316 | Sumitomo Mitsui Financial Group, Inc. | 5,380 | 4,117 | А | D |
| 8332 | The Bank of Yokohama,Ltd. | 580 | 593 | В | С |
| 8411 | Mizuho Financial Group,Inc. | 237 | 201 | В | С |
| 8591 | ORIX CORPORATION | 1,785 | 1,630 | В | D |
| 8601 | Daiwa Securities Group Inc. | 1,042 | 846 | В | С |
| 8604 | Nomura Holdings, Inc. | 819 | 665 | В | С |
| 8630 | NKSJ Holdings,Inc. | 2,928 | 2,675 | В | D |
| 8725 | MS&AD Insurance Group Holdings,Inc. | 2,688 | 2,341 | В | D |
| 8750 | The Dai-ichi Life Insurance Company,Limited | 1,769 | 1,426 | В | D |
| 8766 | Tokio Marine Holdings,Inc. | 3,355 | 3,290 | А | D |
| 8795 | T&D Holdings, Inc. | 1,490 | 1,289 | В | D |
| 8801 | Mitsui Fudosan Co.,Ltd. | 3,615 | 3,450 | А | D |
| 8802 | Mitsubishi Estate Company,Limited | 2,942 | 2,587 | В | D |
| 8830 | Sumitomo Realty & Development Co.,Ltd. | 4,990 | 4,327 | А | D |
| 9020 | East Japan Railway Company | 8,130 | 8,261 | А | Е |
| 9021 | West Japan Railway Company | 4,425 | 4,731 | А | D |
| 9022 | Central Japan Railway Company | 12,230 | 15,420 | А | Е |
| 9064 | YAMATO HOLDINGS CO.,LTD. | 2,018 | 2,115 | В | D |
| 9202 | ANA HOLDINGS INC. | 226 | 248 | В | С |
| 9432 | NIPPON TELEGRAPH AND TELEPHONE CORPORATION | 5,660 | 6,740 | А | Е |
| 9433 | KDDI CORPORATION | 6,260 | 6,229 | А | Е |
| 9437 | NTT DOCOMO,INC. | 1,749 | 1,794 | В | D |
| 9502 | Chubu Electric Power Company, Incorporated | 1,303 | 1,250 | В | D |
| 9503 | The Kansai Electric Power Company, Incorporated | 1,198 | 1,029 | В | С |
| 9531 | TOKYO GAS CO.,LTD. | 504 | 596 | В | С |
| 9532 | OSAKA GAS CO.,LTD. | 408 | 440 | В | С |
| 9735 | SECOM CO.,LTD. | 6,030 | 6,272 | A | Е |
| 9983 | FAST RETAILING CO.,LTD. | 41,100 | 32,875 | A | Е |
| 9984 | SoftBank Corp. | 9,020 | 7,677 | А | Е |

* Constituents from 31 October, 2013 to 30 October, 2014.
* The analysis group categories are based not only on the base price on January 17, 2014 and July 22, 2014, but the movement in the base price during the entire period of analysis.

| | (| Quoted | Spread <i>q</i> s | | Effective Half Spreades | | | | | |
|------|---------------|---------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------------|--------------|--------|--------------|--|--|
| Code | Phas | se 1 | Phas | se 2 | Phas | se 1 | Phas | se 2 | | |
| | Before | After | Before | After | Before | After | Before | After | | |
| 1605 | 8.70 | 9.28 | 7.33 | 5.55 | 4.61 | 4.92 | 3.91 | 2.42 | | |
| 1878 | 13.66 | 7.19 | 7.81 | 7.75 | 6.08 | 2.75 | 3.35 | 3.19 | | |
| 1925 | 9.78 | 11.33 | 9.57 | 6.53 | 3.70 | 4.26 | 3.60 | 2.80 | | |
| 1928 | 8.37 | 8.13 | 7.80 | 5.11 | 4.05 | 3.98 | 4.16 | 2.53 | | |
| 1963 | 18.33 | 12.03 | 10.41 | 10.43 | 7.23 | 4.71 | 4.02 | 3.97 | | |
| 2502 | 5.57 | 6.06 | 4.30 | 3.82 | 2.62 | 2.71 | 2.12 | 1.81 | | |
| 2503 | 9.40 | 10.14 | 7.62 | 5.48 | 3.92 | 4.11 | 3.77 | 2.50 | | |
| 2802 | 11.80 | 12.27 | 10.64 | 8.04 | 4.81 | 4.81 | 4.23 | 3.16 | | |
| 2914 | 14.72 | 3.98 | 3.57 | 3.02 | 7.45 | 2.11 | 1.89 | 1.46 | | |
| 3382 | 12.88 | 4.76 | 3.86 | 3.33 | 6.59 | 2.26 | 1.89 | 1.52 | | |
| 3402 | 14.40 | 14.97 | 14.87 | 5.32 | 7.26 | 7.64 | 7.96 | 2.33 | | |
| 3407 | 13.83 | 14.75 | 13.86 | 5.20 | 6.74 | 7.10 | 6.82 | 2.14 | | |
| 4063 | 16.91 | 5.53 | 4.27 | 4.25 | 8.56 | 2.49 | 1.83 | 1.97 | | |
| 4188 | 21.36 | 22.12 | 22.61 | 4.65 | 10.74 | 11.48 | 11.32 | 2.35 | | |
| 4452 | 15.92 | 5.91 | 4.40 | 3.47 | 8.08 | 2.68 | 2.03 | 1.62 | | |
| 4502 | 16.49 | 3.49 | 3.15 | 2.64 | 8.30 | 1.78 | 1.60 | 1.27 | | |
| 4503 | 16.58 | 5.61 | 8.04 | 5.26 | 8.42 | 2.47 | 4.17 | 2.64 | | |
| 4523 | 13.02 | 5.12 | 4.70 | 4.38 | 6.53 | 2.46 | 2.12 | 2.34 | | |
| 4568 | 6.59 | 6.99 | 6.47 | 5.14 | 3.33 | 3.54 | 3.06 | 2.24 | | |
| 4578 | 8.76 | 6.13 | 5.13 | 4.25 | 4.99 | 3.00 | 2.33 | 1.93 | | |
| 4661 | 9.19 | 7.05 | 5.70 | 5.97 | 4.26 | 2.84 | 2.37 | 2.59 | | |
| 4901 | 6.06 | 4 77 | 4 82 | 3.88 | 3.17 | 2.50 | 2.37 | 2.32 | | |
| 4911 | 8.18 | 8 49 | 6.41 | 5.05 | 4 15 | 3.78 | 3.33 | 2.23 | | |
| 5020 | 19.02 | 19.60 | 18 58 | 3.76 | 9.64 | 10.02 | 9.41 | 1 77 | | |
| 5108 | 13.09 | 4 57 | 3 56 | 3.13 | 6.65 | 2 18 | 1.88 | 1.77 | | |
| 5201 | 16.40 | 16.97 | 16.97 | 6.68 | 8.08 | 8.69 | 8.82 | 2.82 | | |
| 5401 | 29.48 | 31.32 | 31.46 | 5.09 | 14 77 | 15 70 | 15.80 | 2.02 | | |
| 5411 | 5 20 | 5 71 | 5 75 | 4 51 | 2 78 | 3.05 | 2.86 | 2.16 | | |
| 5713 | 10.62 | 11 31 | 9.66 | 7.48 | 4 51 | 4 55 | 4 44 | 3.26 | | |
| 5802 | 7 31 | 7 50 | 7.00 | 5.26 | 3.63 | 3.68 | 3.95 | 2.48 | | |
| 6273 | 11 19 | 11 36 | 10.62 | 8.50 | 4 29 | 4 15 | 3.92 | 3.27 | | |
| 6301 | 5 20 | 5 30 | 10.02 | 3.54 | 4.2) 2.76 | 3.07 | 2.68 | 1.80 | | |
| 6226 | 9.20 | 0.24 | 4.90 | 6.56 | 2.70 | 4.24 | 2.00 | 2.85 | | |
| 6267 | 9.04 16.00 | 5.67 | 9.14 4.54 | 4.12 | 4.14 Q 11 | 4.24 2.60 | 4.01 | 2.05 | | |
| 6501 | 12.00 | 12 /2 | 13 30 | 3.12 | 6.53 | 6.46 | 6.86 | 1.75 | | |
| 6502 | 23.04 | 21.42 | 21.09 | 1.64 | 11 55 | 10.40 | 10.66 | 2 30 | | |
| 6502 | 10.58 | 10.22 | 21.09 8.52 | 4.04 6.68 | 11.55 | 10.90 | 10.00 | 2.50 | | |
| 6503 | 11.50 | 7.91 | 4.02 | 4.01 | 4.70 5.76 | 274 | 4.20 | 2 .05 | | |
| 6702 | 10.79 | 10 70 | 4.02 | 4.01 | 0.00 | 0.50 | 6.76 | 2.01 | | |
| 6702 | 19.70 | 10.79 0.25 | 0.27 | 4.37 E 16 | 9.90 | 9.30 E.46 | 0.70 | 2.11 | | |
| 6752 | 8.39 E.CE | 0.33 (05 | 0.37 | 5.10 2.95 | 4.75 | 5.46 2.44 | 4.41 | 2.74 | | |
| 0700 | 5.65 1E 97 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 3.85 0.40 | 5.08 | 5.44 4.17 | 3.29 | 2.23 | | |
| 6861 | 15.87 | 11.41 | 2.75 | 9.49 | 6.83 | 4.17 | 3.96 | 3.36 | | |
| 6902 | 18.85 | 4.56 | 3.75 | 3.22 4.70 | 9.61 | 2.28 | 1.73 | 1.48 2.10 | | |
| 0904 | 0.80 | 3.23 E 94 | 4.// | 4.7U | 3.34 | 2.47 | 2.16 | 2.19 | | |
| 6971 | 19.48 | 5.84 | 4.56 | 3.71 | 9.87 | 2.77 | 2.12 | 1.66 | | |
| 6981 | 11.93 | 6.21 | 4.21 | 4.78 | 5.99 | 2.89 | 1.80 | 2.22 | | |
| 6988 | 13.50 | 5.37 | 4.09 | 3.74 | 5.51 | 2.81 | 1.83 | 1.71 | | |
| 7011 | 15.77 | 14.99 | 15.52 | 4.16 | 8.01 5.05 | 7.74 | 7.88 | 2.19 | | |
| 7201 | 11.29 | 11.05 | 10.30 | 3.62 | 5.85 | 5.67 | 5.27 | 1.90 | | |
| 7202 | 16.25 | 16.34 | 14.84 | 5.25 | 8.35 | 8.25 | 7.60 | 2.51 | | |

Table15Quoted spread and effective half spread

| | (| Quoted : | Spread <i>ą</i> s | | Effe | ective Ha | alf Spread | lēs |
|------|--------------|--------------|-------------------|--------------|--------|-----------|--------------|-------|
| Code | Phas | se 1 | Phas | se 2 | Phas | se 1 | Phas | se 2 |
| | Before | After | Before | After | Before | After | Before | After |
| 7203 | 16.05 | 2.34 | 2.06 | 2.21 | 8.04 | 1.56 | 1.23 | 1.22 |
| 7267 | 11.87 | 3.44 | 3.44 | 2.73 | 6.02 | 1.84 | 1.82 | 1.36 |
| 7269 | 6.26 | 6.51 | 5.11 | 4.42 | 2.78 | 2.94 | 2.69 | 2.61 |
| 7270 | 6.78 | 4 96 | 4 87 | 3 70 | 3.60 | 2 79 | 2 46 | 1.89 |
| 7731 | 6.37 | 6.41 | 6.83 | 4 81 | 3 21 | 3.34 | 3.56 | 2 40 |
| 7741 | 7.09 | 6.78 | 5 54 | 4 38 | 3.52 | 3 30 | 2 49 | 2.10 |
| 7751 | 15.18 | 3.81 | 3.40 | 2 47 | 7 71 | 2.06 | 1.86 | 1.26 |
| 7752 | 10.10 | 9.70 | 8.85 | 6.13 | 5.05 | 5.05 | 4.61 | 2.87 |
| 7912 | 13.98 | 15 38 | 12 91 | 10.15 | 5.00 | 6.05 | 5.34 | 4.03 |
| 7974 | 9 33 | 7 13 | 677 | 6.91 | 4 49 | 3.92 | 3.28 | 3.28 |
| 8001 | 9.00 8.05 | 9.15 8.25 | 7.85 | 5.08 | 4.22 | 1 38 | 3.20 4 11 | 2.58 |
| 8001 | 12.86 | 12.82 | 12.65 | 3.00 | 4.55 | 4.38 | 7.02 | 1.90 |
| 8021 | 7 20 | 7 27 | 6 29 | 3.71 4.01 | 2.04 | 1 10 | 2.05 | 2.40 |
| 8025 | 10.29 | (27 | 0.20 | 4.01 | 0.20 | 4.10 | 3.65 2.17 | 2.40 |
| 8035 | 18.33 | 6.37 | 4.72 | 4.99 | 9.28 | 2.71 | 2.17 | 2.44 |
| 8053 | 8.12 | 8.14 | 7.63 | 4.95 | 4.44 | 4.21 | 3.96 | 2.46 |
| 8058 | 5.31 | 5.56 | 5.00 | 3.59 | 2.77 | 2.95 | 2.92 | 2.09 |
| 8113 | 16.62 | 5.58 | 4.79 | 4./1 | 8.42 | 2.61 | 2.01 | 2.07 |
| 8267 | 7.97 | 8.10 | 8.47 | 5.14 | 4.00 | 4.12 | 4.34 | 2.51 |
| 8306 | 15.20 | 15.63 | 16.21 | 2.52 | 7.71 | 7.95 | 8.20 | 1.52 |
| 8308 | 19.23 | 17.99 | 16.93 | 4.33 | 9.62 | 9.11 | 8.68 | 2.18 |
| 8309 | 19.02 | 19.91 | 21.71 | 5.44 | 9.55 | 10.10 | 10.87 | 2.44 |
| 8316 | 18.89 | 2.99 | 3.10 | 2.56 | 9.38 | 1.83 | 1.83 | 1.41 |
| 8332 | 19.17 | 20.61 | 18.26 | 6.94 | 9.29 | 9.66 | 8.82 | 2.71 |
| 8411 | 45.95 | 44.78 | 48.84 | 5.19 | 23.04 | 22.40 | 24.43 | 2.77 |
| 8591 | 6.29 | 6.75 | 6.46 | 4.40 | 3.41 | 3.73 | 3.53 | 2.28 |
| 8601 | 10.26 | 10.66 | 11.92 | 4.85 | 5.45 | 5.61 | 5.98 | 2.21 |
| 8604 | 12.73 | 13.41 | 14.20 | 3.12 | 6.53 | 6.93 | 7.35 | 1.83 |
| 8630 | 7.53 | 7.20 | 6.11 | 5.69 | 3.17 | 3.16 | 2.63 | 2.25 |
| 8725 | 7.13 | 7.01 | 6.36 | 5.25 | 3.17 | 3.00 | 2.75 | 2.32 |
| 8750 | 7.18 | 7.23 | 7.31 | 4.71 | 3.89 | 3.87 | 3.91 | 2.40 |
| 8766 | 15.23 | 5.46 | 4.52 | 4.11 | 7.60 | 2.50 | 2.07 | 1.89 |
| 8795 | 8.96 | 9.29 | 8.85 | 6.62 | 4.37 | 4.54 | 4.24 | 3.04 |
| 8801 | 14.84 | 8.02 | 5.08 | 4.71 | 7.41 | 3.24 | 2.45 | 2.02 |
| 8802 | 11.08 | 7.32 | 6.57 | 5.29 | 5.16 | 3.13 | 2.74 | 2.23 |
| 8830 | 16.01 | 9.88 | 8.78 | 6.96 | 7.33 | 3.72 | 3.35 | 2.69 |
| 9020 | 12.51 | 4.88 | 3.52 | 3.84 | 6.33 | 2.03 | 1.54 | 1.72 |
| 9021 | 12.06 | 5.29 | 4.14 | 3.76 | 5.92 | 2.27 | 1.86 | 1.54 |
| 9022 | 11.47 | 7.83 | 5.97 | 6.09 | 4.69 | 3.27 | 2.47 | 2.57 |
| 9064 | 7.15 | 7.17 | 6.91 | 5.31 | 3.15 | 3.48 | 3.15 | 2.25 |
| 9202 | 47.35 | 45.27 | 41.31 | 5.58 | 23.70 | 22.78 | 21.11 | 2.82 |
| 9432 | 18.35 | 4.59 | 3.69 | 3.24 | 9.29 | 2.20 | 1.69 | 1.58 |
| 9433 | 15.91 | 4.66 | 3.58 | 3.44 | 8.08 | 3.07 | 1.70 | 1.62 |
| 9437 | 6.13 | 6.30 | 5.98 | 3.96 | 3.25 | 3.34 | 3.23 | 2.10 |
| 9502 | 9.29 | 10.44 | 9.72 | 7.13 | 4.55 | 4.95 | 4.71 | 3.16 |
| 9503 | 9.87 | 10.40 | 11.16 | 5.55 | 5.02 | 5.54 | 5.80 | 2.48 |
| 9531 | 19.98 | 19.95 | 17.47 | 5.61 | 10.09 | 10.07 | 8.63 | 2.31 |
| 9532 | 24.59 | 24.24 | 23.88 | 5.94 | 12.41 | 12.32 | 11.78 | 2.59 |
| 9735 | 16.99 | 7.17 | 5.10 | 5.10 | 8.49 | 2.92 | 2.14 | 2.17 |
| 9983 | 13.32 | 5.75 | 5.91 | 5.16 | 6.60 | 2.71 | 2.58 | 2.31 |
| 9984 | 11.23 | 2.63 | 2.09 | 2.16 | 5.91 | 2.00 | 1.27 | 1.38 |

* The figures of the quoted spread are the average during each period. The figures of the effective half spread are the average value of the weighted average during each period based on the daily execution shares. Units are bps.

| | One | -minute | Volatilit | vā1 | Ten | minute | Volatility | $\overline{\sigma_{10}}$ |
|------|--------|---------|-----------|-------|--------|--------|------------|--------------------------|
| Code | Phas | se 1 | Pha | se 2 | Pha | se 1 | Phas | se 2 |
| coue | Before | After | Before | After | Before | After | Before | After |
| 1605 | 6.42 | 8 33 | 7.40 | 5 94 | 18.28 | 21.35 | 18.12 | 16.89 |
| 1878 | 5 31 | 6.85 | 5.45 | 5 32 | 12 71 | 19 74 | 13.70 | 16.60 |
| 1925 | 5 30 | 7.48 | 1 89 | 7.24 | 14.96 | 21.82 | 14.15 | 17.53 |
| 1029 | 5.50 | 7.40 | 4.02 | 1.24 | 15.70 | 10.51 | 11.10 | 12.16 |
| 1920 | 0.62 | 7.40 | 4.33 | 4.55 | 10.07 | 19.51 | 12.01 | 16.00 |
| 1905 | 0.1Z | 7.00 | 4.77 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 10.50 | 13.01 | 10.90 |
| 2502 | 5.77 | 7.26 | 4.99 | 4.92 | 17.55 | 19.39 | 11.72 | 14.41 |
| 2503 | 4.95 | 6.89 | 4.19 | 4.61 | 14.00 | 17.71 | 11.35 | 13.54 |
| 2802 | 5.17 | 7.97 | 5.07 | 4.52 | 14.98 | 22.15 | 15.87 | 13.42 |
| 2914 | 6.20 | 6.96 | 4.06 | 5.35 | 15.87 | 19.35 | 10.71 | 14./4 |
| 3382 | 6.77 | 7.31 | 4.64 | 4.54 | 17.39 | 20.13 | 13.34 | 11.85 |
| 3402 | 5.46 | 7.53 | 4.91 | 3.70 | 12.33 | 18.91 | 12.16 | 11.09 |
| 3407 | 6.11 | 9.92 | 5.31 | 4.75 | 16.30 | 27.16 | 12.65 | 13.26 |
| 4063 | 6.60 | 7.14 | 4.35 | 4.99 | 16.50 | 19.72 | 12.12 | 13.70 |
| 4188 | 7.12 | 9.32 | 5.65 | 6.67 | 18.09 | 22.45 | 14.16 | 20.16 |
| 4452 | 6.76 | 7.56 | 5.09 | 5.15 | 16.80 | 22.43 | 13.08 | 13.40 |
| 4502 | 5.13 | 4.74 | 3.19 | 2.99 | 14.23 | 12.04 | 8.19 | 8.38 |
| 4503 | 7.42 | 8.49 | 5.44 | 5.36 | 18.66 | 23.88 | 14.73 | 16.17 |
| 4523 | 5.29 | 5.66 | 4.43 | 4.50 | 12.59 | 15.36 | 11.40 | 12.40 |
| 4568 | 5.53 | 7.45 | 4.26 | 4.72 | 14.95 | 19.42 | 11.77 | 13.93 |
| 4578 | 5.39 | 7.03 | 5.52 | 5.06 | 14.17 | 21.48 | 13.70 | 12.75 |
| 4661 | 4.19 | 5.37 | 3.95 | 4.82 | 13.11 | 16.56 | 10.86 | 15.73 |
| 4901 | 6.44 | 8.10 | 4.64 | 6.96 | 19.88 | 22.97 | 12.98 | 17.61 |
| 4911 | 6.74 | 7.88 | 5.89 | 5.68 | 18.32 | 20.12 | 15.82 | 15.97 |
| 5020 | 6.58 | 8.80 | 6.38 | 5.53 | 17.42 | 23.59 | 16.56 | 14.72 |
| 5108 | 6.45 | 7.14 | 4.48 | 4.60 | 15.91 | 19.57 | 12.32 | 12.23 |
| 5201 | 6.53 | 7.68 | 5.62 | 5.49 | 16.31 | 19.26 | 13.28 | 16.81 |
| 5401 | 7.52 | 10.89 | 6.23 | 6.07 | 19.34 | 28.62 | 14.98 | 17.66 |
| 5411 | 6.68 | 8.42 | 5.41 | 6.74 | 20.25 | 25.27 | 14.33 | 19.36 |
| 5713 | 5.40 | 7.43 | 6.94 | 6.32 | 16.20 | 21.24 | 19.73 | 21.20 |
| 5802 | 6.90 | 8.41 | 5.82 | 5.54 | 20.21 | 22.41 | 16.83 | 17.33 |
| 6273 | 6.27 | 8.23 | 5.61 | 6.33 | 18.58 | 24.95 | 15.22 | 17.75 |
| 6301 | 5.03 | 7.66 | 5.06 | 5.43 | 13.66 | 21.21 | 13.24 | 15.29 |
| 6326 | 6.58 | 9.52 | 5.64 | 5.90 | 19.38 | 26.03 | 14.32 | 16.10 |
| 6367 | 7.40 | 7.65 | 5.78 | 5.22 | 17.85 | 23.43 | 16.38 | 15.98 |
| 6501 | 6.50 | 9.03 | 5.63 | 5.49 | 17.62 | 25.51 | 13.85 | 15.37 |
| 6502 | 7.10 | 10.10 | 6.32 | 5.11 | 16.55 | 25.49 | 15.91 | 15.17 |
| 6503 | 6.37 | 9.06 | 5.31 | 6.07 | 19.46 | 25.45 | 13.62 | 18.25 |
| 6594 | 6.20 | 8.46 | 5.11 | 5.67 | 16.78 | 26.82 | 14.19 | 16.83 |
| 6702 | 8.60 | 12.65 | 6.97 | 7.85 | 22.89 | 35.17 | 18.11 | 23.31 |
| 6752 | 7.31 | 11.49 | 5.96 | 5.43 | 20.09 | 31.58 | 15.43 | 16.49 |
| 6758 | 5.98 | 8.50 | 4.84 | 6.41 | 16.71 | 24.00 | 12.38 | 17.16 |
| 6861 | 6.86 | 8.02 | 5.38 | 5.67 | 19.21 | 24.29 | 14.61 | 14.95 |
| 6902 | 6.70 | 8.20 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 17.72 | 21.94 | 12.11 | 11.35 |
| 6954 | 6.75 | 8.02 | 4.82 | 5.16 | 18.99 | 22.76 | 12.59 | 14.86 |
| 6971 | 7.93 | 7.61 | 5.06 | 4.64 | 20.33 | 23.28 | 13.83 | 11.31 |
| 6981 | 6.70 | 8.24 | 4.67 | 5.08 | 16.96 | 25.06 | 13.42 | 13.72 |
| 6988 | 8.46 | 7.97 | 4.96 | 5.03 | 21.04 | 23.75 | 13.91 | 14.33 |
| 7011 | 6.91 | 10.23 | 5.65 | 5.56 | 17.91 | 28.76 | 15.18 | 16.28 |
| 7201 | 6.32 | 8.28 | 5.28 | 5.54 | 17.95 | 21.25 | 12.47 | 14.91 |
| 7202 | 8.03 | 8.66 | 6.74 | 6.60 | 21.64 | 21.49 | 16.93 | 17.78 |

Table16 Intraday volatility

| | One | -minute | Volatility | $y\bar{\sigma_1}$ | Ten- | minute | Volatility | $\overline{\sigma_{10}}$ |
|------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------|--------|--------|---------------|--------------------------|
| Code | Phas | se 1 | Phas | se 2 | Phas | se 1 | Phas | se 2 |
| | Before | After | Before | After | Before | After | Before | After |
| 7203 | 5.18 | 5.19 | 3.41 | 3.49 | 12.44 | 15.25 | 9.59 | 9.61 |
| 7267 | 5.77 | 6.21 | 4.39 | 4.43 | 14.28 | 16.67 | 11.43 | 12.00 |
| 7269 | 7.11 | 8.25 | 6.27 | 6.28 | 20.51 | 22.87 | 16.24 | 15.07 |
| 7270 | 5 89 | 8.34 | 5.01 | 5 70 | 16 19 | 24.39 | 12.88 | 15.66 |
| 7731 | 6.48 | 8.64 | 3 74 | 5.17 | 17.60 | 23.48 | 9 49 | 14.62 |
| 7741 | 6.63 | 9.04 | 5.27 | 6.08 | 18 24 | 26.10 | 13.22 | 16.66 |
| 7751 | 4 75 | 5 38 | 3.26 | 3 52 | 11 40 | 13.94 | 8.93 | 8.93 |
| 7752 | 7 30 | 10.97 | 5.20 | 5.56 | 20.79 | 29.55 | 15.65 | 15 72 |
| 7912 | 5.67 | 7 71 | 4 72 | 4 46 | 15.84 | 22.00 | 12.62 | 13.72 |
| 7974 | 8.12 | 15.08 | 6.11 | 6.95 | 22.64 | 44.61 | 16.75 | 19.12 |
| 8001 | 5.12 | 7 22 | 4.41 | 4.62 | 12.64 | 10.21 | 11.25 | 12.07 |
| 8002 | J.10 4 87 | 7.23 | 2.02 | 4.02 | 11.00 | 16.40 | 8.80 | 12.07 |
| 8021 | 4.67 | 7.37 | 3.92 1 19 | 4.07 | 12.45 | 20.24 | 11.65 | 14.70 |
| 8025 | 4.52 | 7.39 | 4.40 | 4.70 | 12.45 | 10.70 | 12.20 | 14.50 |
| 8053 | 7.14 | 7.10 | 4.21 | 4.00 | 17.42 | 19.70 | 0.21 | 14.10 |
| 8059 | 4.40 | 5.69 | 2.04 | 4.07 | 10.46 | 15.04 | 9.51 | 12.17 |
| 8112 | 7.09 | 9.00 8.12 | 5.90 | 4.20 | 10.40 | 22.48 | 10.72 | 12.69 |
| 8267 | 5.07 | 6.62 | 3.05 | 2.28 | 12.00 | 16 24 | 9.20 | 0.27 |
| 8207 | 6.20 | 7.75 | 5.90 | 1.66 | 15.20 | 10.24 | 9.20 12.76 | 9.27 12.46 |
| 0300 | 6.30 E 8E | 0.00 | 6.72 | 4.00 | 12.64 | 22 55 | 16.01 | 17.40 |
| 8200 | 9.65 | 0.00 | 0.75 | 7.00 E.0(| 15.62 | 23.33 | 17.91 | 17.91 |
| 8309 | 6.07 | 9.78 | 0.77 | 5.90 | 20.87 | 10.20 | 17.21 | 17.07 |
| 8316 | 6./1 | 6.73 | 4.78 | 4.93 5.24 | 17.93 | 19.38 | 12.95 | 13.67 |
| 0332 | 7.07 | 0.95 | 5.62 | 5.24 2.7(| 19.57 | 23.66 | 14.41 | 13.76 |
| 0411 | 0.10 | 9.50 | 4.38 | 5.70 | 16.10 | 20.69 | 11.00 | 9.05 |
| 8591 | 7.33 | 10.81 | 0.20 | 6.19 5.27 | 21.50 | 29.19 | 15.40 | 17.40 |
| 8601 | 7.07 | 8.67 | 5.95 | 5.27 | 18.04 | 21.75 | 15.22 | 15.48 |
| 8604 | 6.73 | 8.78 | 6.17 | 5.19 | 16.63 | 21.42 | 15.39 | 15.31 |
| 8630 | 7.97 | 8.95 | 6.28 | 6.26 | 24.00 | 22.99 | 16.49 | 16.21 |
| 8725 | 7.64 | 8.52 | 6.35 | 6.61 | 23.15 | 20.58 | 16.64 | 17.93 |
| 8750 | 8.10 | 9.75 | 6.56 | 5.22 | 22.05 | 27.70 | 18.33 | 15.25 |
| 8766 | 7.48 | 7.12 | 5.40 | 5.54 | 19.90 | 19.63 | 13.76 | 14.06 |
| 8795 | 8.22 | 9.68 | 7.05 | 6.33 | 23.66 | 25.77 | 18.67 | 18.17 |
| 8801 | 7.70 | 8.36 | 5.09 | 4.94 | 19.58 | 25.17 | 14.23 | 14.53 |
| 8802 | 6.90 | 8.35 | 5.46 | 5.10 | 17.46 | 22.84 | 14.90 | 14.55 |
| 8830 | 8.05 | 8.41 | 5.84 | 5.84 | 21.69 | 25.15 | 15.55 | 15.96 |
| 9020 | 5.66 | 6.69 | 4.09 | 4.55 | 14.77 | 18.18 | 11.45 | 12.52 |
| 9021 | 5.21 | 6.38 | 3.51 | 4.30 | 13.61 | 17.31 | 9.52 | 13.14 |
| 9022 | 5.21 | 7.61 | 4.36 | 4.67 | 13.92 | 19.05 | 12.96 | 13.72 |
| 9064 | 6.70 | 8.81 | 5.85 | 5.70 | 17.92 | 24.31 | 15.52 | 14.60 |
| 9202 | 5.28 | 9.40 | 4.78 | 5.18 | 14.05 | 24.17 | 11.50 | 15.85 |
| 9432 | 6.55 | 7.46 | 4.88 | 5.64 | 17.08 | 23.01 | 13.19 | 15.45 |
| 9433 | 8.22 | 10.51 | 5.48 | 5.53 | 20.50 | 28.43 | 14.21 | 14.79 |
| 9437 | 4.48 | 6.71 | 4.36 | 4.94 | 11.69 | 16.87 | 10.66 | 13.12 |
| 9502 | 6.14 | 9.49 | 5.53 | 5.18 | 17.61 | 25.98 | 14.36 | 15.99 |
| 9503 | 7.06 | 11.23 | 8.35 | 7.24 | 19.12 | 30.35 | 23.68 | 21.83 |
| 9531 | 5.11 | 8.63 | 5.47 | 5.45 | 11.96 | 20.34 | 14.34 | 16.07 |
| 9532 | 5.75 | 9.45 | 5.54 | 5.64 | 14.90 | 24.34 | 13.90 | 17.91 |
| 9735 | 6.92 | 7.86 | 4.46 | 4.72 | 17.92 | 22.72 | 12.71 | 12.69 |
| 9983 | 9.36 | 8.07 | 5.22 | 5.07 | 26.17 | 23.49 | 14.47 | 13.77 |
| 9984 | 7.54 | 10.50 | 4.87 | 5.84 | 20.36 | 32.72 | 12.86 | 17.07 |

* The figures are the average for the daily calculated intraday volatility for each period. Units are bps.

| | | Trading | Volume | Number of Execution | | | | | |
|-----------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------|------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|---------|----------------|--|
| Code | Pha | se 1 | Pha | se 2 | Pha | se 1 | Pha | se 2 | |
| coue | Before | After | Before | After | Before | After | Before | After | |
| 1605 | 4.639.520 | 4.101.535 | 3.779.470 | 3.101.225 | 2.896 | 2.968 | 3,456 | 3.785 | |
| 1878 | 313.130 | 422,260 | 280.395 | 349,795 | 701 | 1.683 | 1.035 | 1.228 | |
| 1925 | 2.395.950 | 2,555,050 | 1.702.300 | 2.367.885 | 899 | 1.081 | 758 | 3.075 | |
| 1928 | 4.250.880 | 4.613.275 | 2.781.115 | 2,856.005 | 2.738 | 3.153 | 1,900 | 2.405 | |
| 1963 | 1,285,750 | 1.400.900 | 1.020.300 | 1.197.700 | 486 | 791 | 559 | 735 | |
| 2502 | 2.309.840 | 1.902.545 | 1,946,405 | 1.992.950 | 3.375 | 3.068 | 2.928 | 3.880 | |
| 2503 | 3 916 900 | 3 919 400 | 2 222 460 | 2 175 705 | 1 149 | 1 193 | 1,550 | 2 247 | |
| 2802 | 2 275 500 | 2 753 400 | 1 947 800 | 1 834 550 | 810 | 1 102 | 800 | 912 | |
| 2914 | 7 086 810 | 6 924 505 | 3 193 365 | 3 353 665 | 3 597 | 7 603 | 3 860 | 5 521 | |
| 3382 | 3 281 910 | 3 320 810 | 1 789 935 | 1 551 710 | 2 877 | 5 892 | 3 496 | 3 699 | |
| 3402 | 7 461 250 | 8 232 350 | 5 747 200 | 4 339 700 | 1.394 | 1 448 | 842 | 1 408 | |
| 3407 | 6 184 450 | 7 508 950 | 3 394 350 | 4 373 650 | 1 238 | 1 539 | 703 | 1 809 | |
| 4063 | 1 577 840 | 1 655 685 | 1 057 530 | 1,074,025 | 1 464 | 4 1 3 0 | 2 796 | 2 898 | |
| 4188 | 5 895 150 | 7 986 770 | 3 999 500 | 6 537 100 | 1 1 9 4 | 1,100 | 1 118 | 4 321 | |
| 4452 | 1 724 190 | 1 836 810 | 1 840 785 | 2 171 995 | 1,174 | 3 283 | 3 633 | 4 617 | |
| 4502 | 4 479 330 | 3 235 880 | 1,040,705 | 1 628 805 | 3 425 | 5 278 | 2 955 | 3 564 | |
| 4502 | 2 047 940 | 2 110 135 | 1,917,735 | 5 473 950 | 1.647 | 5,270 | 2,900 | 4 482 | |
| 4522 | 1 540 805 | 1 /01 825 | 708 520 | 1 001 585 | 1,047 | 2 812 | 1 746 | 2 784 | |
| 4525 | 2 / 9/ 785 | 3 793 880 | 1 659 750 | 1,001,365 | 2 264 | 2,013 | 1,740 | 2,764 | |
| 4578 | 2,494,700 | 1 452 000 | 1,039,730 | 1 202 285 | 2,204 | 2 524 | 2 851 | 2,204 | |
| 4576 | 2,134,550 | 245 215 | 225 415 | 261 220 | 2,040 | 1 250 | 1 1 0 0 | 1 271 | |
| 4001 | 275,950 | 2 (20 220 | 255,415 | 201,550 | 9 4 0 4 101 | 1,509 E 262 | 2.647 | 1,271 E 424 | |
| 4901 | 3,173,280 | 3,029,320 2,142,420 | 1,/3/,113 | 3,027,990 1,708,140 | 4,191 | 3,262 2,702 | 2,047 | <i>3,</i> 424 | |
| 4911 50 2 0 | 1,972,085 8.01E.80E | 2,142,420 | 2,331,280 | 7 215 705 | 2,048 | 2,703 | 2,378 | 3,015 | |
| 5020 | 8,915,895 | 12,535,810 | 8,607,380 | 7,315,795 | 2,045 | 2,391 | 1,938 | 4,984 | |
| 5108 | 3,161,395 | 3,090,405 | 3,027,405 | 2,492,390 | 2,449 | 5,115 | 4,326 | 4,862 | |
| 5201 | 6,061,250 | 6,962,500 | 4,884,650 | 5,533,400 | 1,072 | 1,218 | 818 | 2,021 | |
| 5401 | 44,572,750 | 60,353,400 | 29,850,650 | 32,941,950 | 2,223 | 2,637 | 1,494 | 3,861 | |
| 5411 | 4,067,140 | 4,498,185 | 2,789,515 | 3,505,140 | 4,706 | 4,911 | 2,924 | 4,903 | |
| 5713 | 3,083,650 | 2,992,700 | 3,414,900 | 3,525,250 | 989 | 1,159 | 1,323 | 1,632 | |
| 5802 | 4,083,495 | 4,073,290 | 2,939,565 | 2,864,095 | 3,595 | 4,236 | 2,855 | 3,751 | |
| 6273 | 196,310 | 229,910 | 150,125 | 167,590 | 872 | 1,294 | 812 | 1,013 | |
| 6301 | 7,026,730 | 9,722,905 | 3,575,460 | 3,654,750 | 5,042 | 6,981 | 3,151 | 4,627 | |
| 6326 | 4,890,550 | 6,975,950 | 4,361,300 | 5,245,900 | 1,618 | 2,410 | 1,426 | 1,908 | |
| 6367 | 1,545,375 | 1,635,465 | 1,165,810 | 1,441,785 | 1,850 | 4,385 | 3,578 | 3,939 | |
| 6501 | 35,686,400 | 42,716,350 | 19,600,350 | 20,344,150 | 3,659 | 4,447 | 2,234 | 5,282 | |
| 6502 | 34,702,600 | 51,928,300 | 26,604,150 | 17,843,450 | 2,825 | 3,919 | 1,963 | 3,642 | |
| 6503 | 7,637,950 | 8,382,500 | 5,527,050 | 5,653,200 | 1,828 | 2,416 | 1,424 | 1,904 | |
| 6594 | 665,960 | 1,001,405 | 1,070,155 | 1,250,455 | 1,334 | 2,979 | 3,299 | 3,762 | |
| 6702 | 12,882,950 | 22,155,800 | 8,894,900 | 13,073,700 | 1,518 | 2 <i>,</i> 851 | 1,558 | 4,426 | |
| 6752 | 13,539,665 | 24,338,480 | 8,003,465 | 6,723,335 | 6,391 | 11,179 | 3,500 | 4,081 | |
| 6758 | 12,240,195 | 14,821,370 | 6,221,565 | 9,326,680 | 7,913 | 9,949 | 4,013 | 8,056 | |
| 6861 | 152,685 | 171,845 | 127,890 | 121,075 | 613 | 1,030 | 824 | 799 | |
| 6902 | 2,356,815 | 2,407,575 | 1,718,440 | 1,636,570 | 1,735 | 5,468 | 3,880 | 3,959 | |
| 6954 | 1,449,205 | 1,308,135 | 684,345 | 836,785 | 3,113 | 4,156 | 2,121 | 2,443 | |
| 6971 | 1,954,855 | 2,045,510 | 1,238,770 | 1,402,595 | 1,430 | 4,236 | 3,016 | 3,679 | |
| 6981 | 942,860 | 1,148,940 | 676,420 | 601,035 | 1,663 | 3,972 | 2,640 | 2,190 | |
| 6988 | 2,996,330 | 2,103,235 | 1,173,755 | 1,290,555 | 3,421 | 4,910 | 2,791 | 3,686 | |
| 7011 | 18,981,150 | 25,957,550 | 10,818,700 | 14,644,150 | 2,184 | 3,040 | 1,410 | 3,456 | |
| 7201 | 18,021,960 | 15,087,695 | 9,730,535 | 13,466,285 | 4,747 | 4,379 | 2,946 | 9,331 | |
| 7202 | 12.458.650 | 11.595.050 | 9.197.950 | 9.289.900 | 1.791 | 1.612 | 1.333 | 2,992 | |

 Table17
 Daily trading volume and number of executions

| | | Trading | Volume | | N | lumber of | fExecutio | |
|--------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Code | Pha | se 1 | Ph | ase 2 | Pha | se 1 | Pha | se ? |
| coue | Before | After | Before | After | Before | After | Before | After |
| 7203 | 10.071.940 | 11.182.265 | 7.318.930 | 5.821.590 | 5.549 | 14,449 | 8.651 | 7.045 |
| 7267 | 5,740,615 | 6.702.535 | 4,897,640 | 4,819,750 | 3.507 | 8,227 | 5,307 | 6,399 |
| 7269 | 2.317.255 | 1.938.110 | 2.016.980 | 1.559.555 | 2.930 | 3.096 | 3,373 | 3,590 |
| 7270 | 5,888,845 | 5,226,245 | 2,926,455 | 3.027.050 | 4.708 | 5.691 | 3.767 | 5.122 |
| 7731 | 3 364 015 | 4 332 680 | 2 619 155 | 3 777 515 | 3 179 | 4 170 | 2 083 | 3 872 |
| 7741 | 1.611.610 | 1,705,325 | 920.300 | 1.141.225 | 2.369 | 3.185 | 1.958 | 3.156 |
| 7751 | 5 800 250 | 7 013 655 | 4 573 675 | 3 242 415 | 3 133 | 7 882 | 4 269 | 4 676 |
| 7752 | 5 874 505 | 7 026 005 | 4 156 660 | 4 216 960 | 2 027 | 4 275 | 2 705 | 3 4 2 9 |
| 7912 | 1 960 750 | 2 411 400 | 1 425 850 | 1 131 350 | 598 | 857 | 483 | 485 |
| 7974 | 979 920 | 2 837 480 | 495 835 | 847 000 | 2 882 | 8 909 | 1 749 | 2 553 |
| 8001 | 6 394 660 | 6 907 305 | 4 907 165 | 4 886 420 | 3 183 | 3 936 | 2 420 | 3 643 |
| 8002 | 11 679 150 | 12 115 750 | 6 974 700 | 7 011 050 | 1 692 | 1 987 | 970 | 3 619 |
| 8031 | 9 190 855 | 11 643 475 | 6 940 130 | 6 174 275 | 4 033 | 5 810 | 3 563 | 4 352 |
| 8035 | 1 359 445 | 1 071 225 | 753 905 | 845 680 | +,000 1 2 11 | 2 681 | 2 145 | -,552 2 402 |
| 8052 | 6 3/1 1/5 | 6 284 725 | 3 183 570 | 3 812 645 | 1,211 2 705 | 2,001 | 2,1 4 3 1 866 | 2, 1 02 2,886 |
| 8059 | 7 150 270 | 7 724 545 | 4 466 600 | 3 974 745 | 2,190 4 202 | 5 145 | 3 0/2 | ∠,000 4.064 |
| 8112 | 1 075 945 | 1 / 20 040 | 1,100,000 680 625 | 586 100 | 7,404 1.674 | J 102 | 0,042 0 205 | 1 022 |
| 0115 0267 | 2 205 120 | 1,420,900 | 2 822 175 | 2 122 500 | 1,070 | 4,103 | 2,525 | 2,902 |
| 8207 | 3,393,130 70.045 720 | 4,828,845 | <i>3,832,173</i> | 3,122,300 42,850,220 | 2,392 | 4,079 | 2,997 | 2,907 |
| 8208 | 14,962,730 | 73,190,170 28,111,755 | 52,659,620 | 43,839,220 | 0,709 | 0,992 2,404 | 4,342 | 14,084 8 081 |
| 8308 | 14,002,710 | 28,111,755 | 12,078,520 | 12,020,435 | 2,239 | 3,404 | 2,371 | 0,001 2,250 |
| 8309 | 20,608,600 | 23,076,100 | 15,164,000 | 16,084,850 | 1,/21 | 2,101 | 1,121 | 3,259 |
| 8316 | 7,240,470 | 9,228,520 | 6,297,910 | 5,451,335 | 3,432 | 12,024 | 7,667 | 8,447 |
| 8332 | 5,968,500 | 5,141,900 | 3,281,600 | 3,893,450 | 964 | 990 | 580 | 1,539 |
| 8411 | 173,492,160 | 200,212,765 | 83,810,435 | 138,583,380 | 6,694 | 7,242 | 3,962 | 7,989 |
| 8591 | 7,248,890 | 8,744,135 | 4,684,855 | 4,881,855 | 5,679 | 6,826 | 3,906 | 5,354 |
| 8601 | 14,828,750 | 14,691,400 | 8,932,300 | 7,502,950 | 2,580 | 2,707 | 1,508 | 2,357 |
| 8604 | 47,496,225 | 45,151,825 | 33,320,800 | 18,707,480 | 7,074 | 6,658 | 4,679 | 7,596 |
| 8630 | 1,383,940 | 1,657,520 | 1,062,195 | 1,021,455 | 2,630 | 3,154 | 2,109 | 2,692 |
| 8725 | 1,816,560 | 2,012,215 | 1,681,665 | 1,364,335 | 2,948 | 3,690 | 2,655 | 3,032 |
| 8750 | 4,385,770 | 5,259,595 | 7,346,750 | 9,475,860 | 4,622 | 5,065 | 3,686 | 5,293 |
| 8766 | 2,515,150 | 3,190,150 | 2,441,905 | 2,088,085 | 1,873 | 4,572 | 3,892 | 3,993 |
| 8795 | 2,647,845 | 3,191,985 | 2,553,155 | 2,271,575 | 2,900 | 3,202 | 2,330 | 2,733 |
| 8801 | 3,860,450 | 4,165,150 | 7,304,800 | 3,690,100 | 1,228 | 2,082 | 2,550 | 1,880 |
| 8802 | 5,473,600 | 6,840,000 | 4,158,750 | 4,186,900 | 1,719 | 2,771 | 1,796 | 1,905 |
| 8830 | 2,510,100 | 2,535,500 | 1,810,400 | 2,023,000 | 940 | 1,442 | 1,083 | 1,271 |
| 9020 | 1,092,685 | 1,349,685 | 972,355 | 873,705 | 1,557 | 4,008 | 3,248 | 2,988 |
| 9021 | 835,080 | 1,007,410 | 630,285 | 676,245 | 1,077 | 2,535 | 1,676 | 2,340 |
| 9022 | 427,070 | 604,330 | 358,780 | 425,785 | 987 | 1,857 | 1,284 | 1,624 |
| 9064 | 2,019,065 | 3,368,270 | 1,452,810 | 1,509,865 | 2,299 | 3,826 | 2,004 | 2,703 |
| 9202 | 22,642,100 | 25,887,050 | 12,355,100 | 14,108,900 | 1,292 | 1,410 | 855 | 2,205 |
| 9432 | 3,396,290 | 2,795,130 | 1,926,560 | 2,605,060 | 2,584 | 6,158 | 5,296 | 6,589 |
| 9433 | 3,658,720 | 4,427,525 | 2,045,585 | 2,181,555 | 2,869 | 10,303 | 5,164 | 5,216 |
| 9437 | 6,963,995 | 7,276,180 | 4,456,885 | 5,276,295 | 3,901 | 4,825 | 3,024 | 4,939 |
| 9502 | 1,978,185 | 2,153,335 | 1,321,555 | 1,350,785 | 1,820 | 2,136 | 1,308 | 1,777 |
| 9503 | 2,483,765 | 3,822,425 | 2,992,115 | 2,447,060 | 2,018 | 3,203 | 2,104 | 4,504 |
| 9531 | 8,168,600 | 11,197,850 | 5,807,750 | 6,837,500 | 913 | 1,238 | 779 | 2,132 |
| 9532 | 6,197,000 | 9,001,300 | 3,831,850 | 4,331,550 | 699 | 985 | 509 | 1,309 |
| 9735 | 1,038,200 | 854,575 | 516,335 | 553,970 | 1,102 | 2,430 | 1,639 | 1,734 |
| 9983 | 1,148,410 | 901,130 | 489,370 | 460,385 | 2,864 | 3,997 | 2,217 | 2,002 |
| 9984 | 13,587,725 | 17,648,610 | 7,074,785 | 7,916,785 | 9,695 | 31,239 | 13,375 | 14,663 |

 * The figures are the daily averages during each period. The units of trading volume are shares.

| | 50th percentile | | | | 90th percentile | | | | 99th percentile | | | |
|------|-----------------|-------|--------|-------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|---------|-----------------|---------|---------|----------------|
| Code | Phas | se 1 | Phas | se 2 | Pha | se 1 | Pha | se 2 | Pha | se 1 | Phas | se 2 |
| | Before | After | Before | After | Before | After | Before | After | Before | Afterr | Before | After |
| 1605 | 360 | 325 | 320 | 290 | 3,145 | 2,766 | 2,160 | 1,620 | 13,528 | 11,241 | 8,978 | 5,348 |
| 1878 | 140 | 110 | 100 | 105 | 817 | 430 | 455 | 510 | 2,575 | 1,230 | 1,320 | 1,633 |
| 1925 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 530 | 3.780 | 3,555 | 3.200 | 1.845 | 9.739 | 9.541 | 8.732 | 4.940 |
| 1928 | 405 | 425 | 355 | 290 | 3 096 | 3 018 | 2 737 | 2,331 | 11 278 | 10 429 | 12 940 | 9.028 |
| 1963 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 3 445 | 2 150 | 2 500 | 2,001 | 9 1 1 5 | 5 296 | 6.019 | 5 071 |
| 2502 | 215 | 200 | 230 | 195 | 1 160 | 1 135 | 1 329 | 975 | 3 913 | 3 561 | 4 827 | 4 285 |
| 2502 | 1050 | 1150 | 230 | 272 | 5 700 | 5 900 | 2 780 | 1 072 | 15 225 | 15 01/ | 10.015 | 4,200 6 561 |
| 2505 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 3,700 | 3,900 4.005 | 2,700 | 2,000 | 10,082 | 11,914 | 10,913 | 0,001 8 005 |
| 2002 | 205 | 2(0 | 1000 | 200 | 3,760 | 4,095 | 3,995 | 3,000 | 10,962 | (147 | F 0(2 | 0,295 4 210 |
| 2914 | 295 | 260 | 235 | 200 | 3,390 | 1,815 | 1,619 | 1,130 | 0.105 | 6,147 | 3,963 | 4,510 |
| 3382 | 218 | 195 | 195 | 170 | 1,891 | 1,065 | 905 | 709 | 9,125 | 3,368 | 3,021 | 2,444 |
| 3402 | 1350 | 1600 | 1400 | 1100 | 9,460 | 11,350 | 12,025 | 5,350 | 40,234 | 50,358 | 62,492 | 17,879 |
| 3407 | 1375 | 1400 | 1200 | 1000 | 8,860 | 9,545 | 8,435 | 3,765 | 30,336 | 33,304 | 32,479 | 12,118 |
| 4063 | 205 | 150 | 155 | 165 | 1,688 | 675 | 595 | 613 | 7,283 | 2,217 | 1,985 | 1,967 |
| 4188 | 1170 | 910 | 560 | 450 | 9,354 | 9,844 | 6,219 | 3,010 | 44,528 | 52,025 | 40,816 | 12,518 |
| 4452 | 240 | 195 | 195 | 165 | 1,945 | 1,025 | 930 | 839 | 8,263 | 3,177 | 3,020 | 3,366 |
| 4502 | 240 | 200 | 205 | 160 | 2,325 | 1,190 | 1,145 | 790 | 14,341 | 4,170 | 4,064 | 3,060 |
| 4503 | 275 | 140 | 350 | 310 | 2,129 | 737 | 3,268 | 2,270 | 8,411 | 2,364 | 12,885 | 8,812 |
| 4523 | 230 | 190 | 185 | 120 | 1,728 | 995 | 806 | 616 | 7,276 | 3,030 | 2,268 | 2,185 |
| 4568 | 275 | 285 | 263 | 230 | 1,911 | 1,987 | 1,667 | 1,215 | 7,285 | 7,482 | 6,038 | 4,039 |
| 4578 | 250 | 195 | 225 | 175 | 1,850 | 1,105 | 1,134 | 695 | 9,123 | 3,531 | 3,751 | 2,560 |
| 4661 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 513 | 438 | 362 | 330 | 1,563 | 1,263 | 1,037 | 941 |
| 4901 | 210 | 200 | 220 | 195 | 1,340 | 1,354 | 1,209 | 945 | 5,049 | 4,678 | 4,438 | 3,637 |
| 4911 | 265 | 235 | 275 | 210 | 1,595 | 1,515 | 1,779 | 1,155 | 5,680 | 5,185 | 7,357 | 3,720 |
| 5020 | 718 | 710 | 625 | 463 | 7,961 | 9,804 | 8,143 | 3,030 | 44,383 | 57,681 | 55,509 | 11,355 |
| 5108 | 260 | 205 | 210 | 190 | 2.288 | 1.180 | 1.350 | 940 | 10.733 | 3.648 | 4.955 | 3.298 |
| 5201 | 1700 | 1750 | 1600 | 1050 | 10.245 | 11.000 | 10.010 | 4.645 | 41.980 | 46.251 | 53.924 | 14.814 |
| 5401 | 2550 | 2700 | 1975 | 2600 | 30 745 | 38 975 | 27 615 | 17 850 | 266 235 | 328 610 | 281 427 | 70 115 |
| 5411 | 270 | 305 | 318 | 260 | 1 735 | 1 870 | 1 859 | 1 425 | 7 014 | 7 221 | 7 234 | 5 278 |
| 5712 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 4 950 | 1,070 | 4 150 | 2 4 4 5 | 15.040 | 12 517 | 16 565 | 10,600 |
| 5715 | 205 | 200 | 245 | 250 | 4,930 | 2,000 | 4,130 2,066 | 1 590 | 7 552 | 6 4 4 7 | 0,000 | 5 107 |
| (072 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 2,137 | 2,020 | 2,000 | 220 | 979 | 590 | 5,201 | 5,197 |
| 6275 | 245 | 255 | 205 | 250 | 330 | 250 | 255 | 230 | 0/0 | 269 | 367 | 556 |
| 6301 | 345 | 355 | 305 | 250 | 2,830 | 2,858 | 2,175 | 1,475 | 11,018 | 11,998 | 8,449 | 5,522 |
| 6326 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 4,800 | 5,250 | 5,175 | 4,500 | 13,614 | 15,702 | 17,324 | 13,048 |
| 6367 | 195 | 135 | 115 | 138 | 1,391 | 647 | 524 | 639 | 6,054 | 2,113 | 1,849 | 2,217 |
| 6501 | 2000 | 2050 | 1900 | 1300 | 17,900 | 19,750 | 17,210 | 7,050 | 100,713 | 99,141 | 80,899 | 25,631 |
| 6502 | 2100 | 2250 | 2100 | 2000 | 20,150 | 23,910 | 22,450 | 9,320 | 137,748 | 153,946 | 151,033 | 34,937 |
| 6503 | 1100 | 1050 | 1150 | 1050 | 7,250 | 6,815 | 7,100 | 5,150 | 22,837 | 19,972 | 24,031 | 15,947 |
| 6594 | 180 | 120 | 140 | 140 | 966 | 625 | 584 | 590 | 3,332 | 2,010 | 1,887 | 1,936 |
| 6702 | 1950 | 1900 | 1600 | 1100 | 16,640 | 16,575 | 11,295 | 5,250 | 74,137 | 67,669 | 47,913 | 17,743 |
| 6752 | 460 | 415 | 450 | 390 | 4,040 | 4,265 | 4,645 | 3,250 | 20,636 | 24,781 | 23,413 | 14,572 |
| 6758 | 375 | 370 | 305 | 310 | 3,127 | 3,037 | 3,207 | 2,320 | 14,136 | 14,739 | 14,317 | 10,160 |
| 6861 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 376 | 215 | 206 | 200 | 976 | 557 | 440 | 462 |
| 6902 | 215 | 175 | 195 | 160 | 2,201 | 825 | 810 | 733 | 11,188 | 2,503 | 2,566 | 2,416 |
| 6954 | 130 | 100 | 105 | 100 | 785 | 540 | 550 | 585 | 2,151 | 1,479 | 1,520 | 1,714 |
| 6971 | 230 | 195 | 185 | 150 | 2,164 | 832 | 625 | 625 | 9,081 | 2,601 | 2,057 | 2,168 |
| 6981 | 190 | 103 | 100 | 105 | 1,045 | 553 | 440 | 482 | 4,408 | 1,825 | 1,332 | 1,645 |
| 6988 | 200 | 185 | 180 | 115 | 1,560 | 785 | 760 | 565 | 6,464 | 2,584 | 2,495 | 2,146 |
| 7011 | 2000 | 1950 | 1600 | 1650 | 15,855 | 18,750 | 14,620 | 8,205 | 77,989 | 81,052 | 74,056 | 30,232 |
| 7201 | 530 | 560 | 580 | 405 | 7,019 | 7,084 | 6,283 | 2,975 | 43,195 | 38,489 | 35,121 | 13,619 |
| 7202 | 2000 | 2000 | 1950 | 1050 | 13,195 | 15,435 | 13,330 | 5.500 | 62.283 | 62.426 | 59.924 | 17.531 |

 Table18
 Execution shares per single execution

| | 50th percentile | | | | | 90th pe | rcentile | | 99th percentile | | | |
|------|-----------------|-------|--------|-------|--------|---------|----------|--------|-----------------|---------|----------------|---------|
| Code | Phas | se 1 | Phas | se 2 | Pha | se 1 | Pha | se 2 | Pha | se 1 | Pha | se 2 |
| | Before | After | Before | After | Before | After | Before | After | Before | Afterr | Before | After |
| 7203 | 200 | 200 | 205 | 205 | 2,226 | 1,447 | 1,685 | 1,665 | 24,054 | 6,481 | 6,783 | 6,675 |
| 7267 | 250 | 210 | 265 | 210 | 2,808 | 1,645 | 1,815 | 1,420 | 13,222 | 5,716 | 7,096 | 5,968 |
| 7269 | 250 | 210 | 215 | 175 | 1,370 | 1,185 | 1,130 | 715 | 4,775 | 3,576 | 3,548 | 2,703 |
| 7270 | 380 | 300 | 260 | 200 | 2,520 | 1,981 | 1,530 | 1,125 | 10,286 | 6,544 | 5,138 | 4,428 |
| 7731 | 300 | 325 | 300 | 275 | 1,912 | 2,061 | 2,402 | 1,790 | 7,725 | 8,093 | 11,458 | 7,498 |
| 7741 | 220 | 200 | 200 | 168 | 1,187 | 969 | 875 | 650 | 4,246 | 3,195 | 2,658 | 2,261 |
| 7751 | 295 | 250 | 280 | 220 | 2,797 | 1,860 | 2,085 | 1,255 | 19,196 | 6,491 | 8,247 | 4,857 |
| 7752 | 875 | 465 | 360 | 335 | 5,631 | 3,490 | 2,928 | 2,669 | 18,189 | 13,518 | 14,253 | 9,162 |
| 7912 | 1000 | 1000 | 1050 | 1000 | 4,545 | 4,400 | 4,920 | 3,550 | 11,976 | 12,928 | 14,077 | 8,261 |
| 7974 | 115 | 100 | 100 | 110 | 655 | 605 | 510 | 610 | 2,043 | 1,902 | 1,409 | 2,023 |
| 8001 | 430 | 415 | 395 | 280 | 3,919 | 3,680 | 3,975 | 2,843 | 16,128 | 14,648 | 19,970 | 11,347 |
| 8002 | 1950 | 1650 | 1600 | 690 | 11,380 | 12,025 | 12,960 | 4,250 | 67,213 | 56,786 | 63,889 | 16,815 |
| 8031 | 445 | 405 | 365 | 350 | 4,169 | 4,052 | 3,616 | 2,770 | 22,160 | 19,456 | 19,973 | 12,930 |
| 8035 | 255 | 170 | 125 | 135 | 1,806 | 695 | 575 | 585 | 7,850 | 2,061 | 1,765 | 1,813 |
| 8053 | 430 | 380 | 335 | 320 | 4,111 | 3,634 | 3,351 | 2,683 | 20,870 | 15,793 | 15,094 | 10,706 |
| 8058 | 385 | 370 | 325 | 280 | 3,298 | 3,131 | 2,876 | 1,949 | 14,385 | 13,472 | 13,242 | 8,127 |
| 8113 | 170 | 120 | 115 | 100 | 1,208 | 675 | 520 | 541 | 5 <i>,</i> 899 | 2,296 | 1,794 | 1,640 |
| 8267 | 265 | 215 | 220 | 225 | 2,533 | 2,544 | 2,536 | 2,153 | 10,891 | 11,126 | 15,577 | 10,770 |
| 8306 | 985 | 850 | 770 | 685 | 14,732 | 15,868 | 13,824 | 6,000 | 157,514 | 169,208 | 206,085 | 31,377 |
| 8308 | 780 | 1008 | 720 | 455 | 12,353 | 16,209 | 9,416 | 3,071 | 86,202 | 106,226 | 67,302 | 13,157 |
| 8309 | 2850 | 2500 | 2500 | 2000 | 23,335 | 22,905 | 27,210 | 9,560 | 101,265 | 96,501 | 125,205 | 31,685 |
| 8316 | 275 | 230 | 265 | 205 | 3,566 | 1,530 | 1,645 | 1,213 | 26,496 | 5,972 | 6,288 | 4,948 |
| 8332 | 1900 | 1725 | 1900 | 1000 | 11,795 | 10,450 | 11,195 | 4,480 | 44,464 | 37,205 | 40,800 | 12,252 |
| 8411 | 1310 | 1130 | 845 | 1515 | 17,351 | 20,368 | 12,784 | 30,271 | 301,329 | 485,164 | 234,623 | 244,668 |
| 8591 | 375 | 355 | 265 | 275 | 2,610 | 2,748 | 2,316 | 1,765 | 9,730 | 10,433 | 10,467 | 6,559 |
| 8601 | 1950 | 1950 | 1950 | 1050 | 11,050 | 11,100 | 11,965 | 5,475 | 41,244 | 40,094 | 44,073 | 18,243 |
| 8604 | 985 | 990 | 850 | 530 | 11,387 | 13,191 | 11,696 | 4,723 | 82,459 | 89,420 | 95,424 | 22,589 |
| 8630 | 205 | 210 | 195 | 200 | 895 | 990 | 950 | 675 | 2,723 | 2,952 | 2,885 | 1,975 |
| 8725 | 215 | 210 | 235 | 200 | 980 | 1,015 | 1,233 | 831 | 3,401 | 3,254 | 3,852 | 2,476 |
| 8750 | 260 | 310 | 380 | 375 | 1,886 | 2,150 | 3,454 | 2,805 | 7,626 | 7,816 | 15,446 | 12,010 |
| 8766 | 290 | 235 | 245 | 210 | 2,506 | 1,416 | 1,140 | 950 | 11,004 | 4,453 | 3,795 | 3,302 |
| 8795 | 250 | 275 | 320 | 295 | 1,870 | 2,179 | 2,281 | 1,720 | 6,202 | 6,974 | 8,635 | 5,567 |
| 8801 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 5,100 | 3,000 | 3,905 | 3,000 | 16,092 | 7,821 | 12,583 | 8,707 |
| 8802 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 5,450 | 4,250 | 3,600 | 3,550 | 17,024 | 11,550 | 9 <i>,</i> 908 | 9,019 |
| 8830 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 4,200 | 2,450 | 2,050 | 2,050 | 11,233 | 6,205 | 5,680 | 5,251 |
| 9020 | 163 | 105 | 100 | 103 | 1,228 | 592 | 480 | 495 | 4,887 | 1,915 | 1,561 | 1,605 |
| 9021 | 208 | 190 | 175 | 100 | 1,509 | 730 | 681 | 516 | 5,450 | 2,392 | 2,080 | 1,583 |
| 9022 | 110 | 105 | 100 | 100 | 655 | 564 | 440 | 436 | 2,031 | 1,561 | 1,167 | 1,218 |
| 9064 | 265 | 295 | 270 | 245 | 1,494 | 1,645 | 1,330 | 1,040 | 4,956 | 6,449 | 4,520 | 2,891 |
| 9202 | 1900 | 1950 | 1675 | 2050 | 14,450 | 23,315 | 14,030 | 12,490 | 225,402 | 310,305 | 196,334 | 50,287 |
| 9432 | 220 | 190 | 145 | 170 | 2,173 | 880 | 600 | 720 | 12,830 | 2,870 | 2,187 | 2,713 |
| 9433 | 235 | 165 | 160 | 155 | 2,308 | 825 | 695 | 700 | 9,944 | 2,735 | 2,325 | 2,555 |
| 9437 | 360 | 370 | 300 | 305 | 3,275 | 3,094 | 2,833 | 2,075 | 16,894 | 13,121 | 13,416 | 8,588 |
| 9502 | 290 | 310 | 305 | 295 | 2,004 | 2,080 | 2,004 | 1,539 | 7,144 | 7,437 | 6,929 | 4,829 |
| 9503 | 315 | 325 | 385 | 225 | 2,305 | 2,531 | 2,960 | 1,015 | 8,936 | 9,975 | 11,602 | 3,653 |
| 9531 | 1950 | 2050 | 1850 | 1150 | 14,535 | 18,465 | 14,455 | 5,510 | 72,295 | 82,378 | 62,776 | 16,821 |
| 9532 | 1900 | 2325 | 2100 | 1600 | 14,765 | 18,650 | 13,620 | 5,910 | 64,870 | 75,807 | 57,480 | 15,251 |
| 9735 | 190 | 110 | 100 | 105 | 1,498 | 540 | 454 | 460 | 5,168 | 1,672 | 1,373 | 1,411 |
| 9983 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 664 | 335 | 315 | 325 | 2,111 | 1,001 | 949 | 936 |
| 9984 | 210 | 180 | 200 | 200 | 2,516 | 1,047 | 1,015 | 995 | 16,223 | 4,821 | 4,251 | 4,452 |

* The figures are the average of each statistic calculated daily for each period. Units are shares.

| | PSTO | rcentile si | $e\bar{s}_{oo}(90$ th percentile size) | | | | eso (99th nercentile size) | | | | | |
|------|---------------|-------------|--|-------|--------------|--------------|----------------------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Code | Phase 1 | | Phase ? | | Phase 1 | | Phase 2 | | Phase 1 | | Phase ? | |
| Coue | Boforo | Aftor | Boforo | Aftor | Boforo | Aftor | Boforo | A ftor | Boforo | Aftorr | Boforo | Aftor |
| 1605 | 1 37 | A 67 | 3.68 | 2.81 | 4 75 | 5 32 | 3.97 | 3 35 | 6 79 | 8 58 | 5.60 | 5.46 |
| 1878 | 4.37 | 2.68 | 3.00 | 2.01 | 4.75 | 5.32 | 3.97 1.16 | 1 28 | 0.79 | 0.50 | 5.00 6.40 | 6.12 |
| 1070 | 0.00 | 5.00 | 3.90 | 3.67 | 7.40 | 0.24 | 4.40 | 4.50 | 9.00 | 9.75 | 0.49 | 0.15 |
| 1925 | 4.88 | 5.65 | 4.78 | 3.58 | 5.47 | 6.55 | 5.20 | 4.74 | 7.35 | 8.81 | 6.74 | 7.48 |
| 1928 | 4.20 | 4.09 | 3.91 | 2.59 | 4.57 | 4.61 | 4.20 | 3.13 | 6.24 | 6.68 | 6.03 | 5.46 |
| 1963 | 9.16 | 6.00 | 5.20 | 5.20 | 9.66 | 7.56 | 5.96 | 6.05 | 11.49 | 11.36 | 7.97 | 8.25 |
| 2502 | 2.85 | 3.10 | 2.17 | 1.97 | 3.63 | 4.00 | 2.67 | 2.69 | 5.62 | 6.26 | 4.42 | 4.58 |
| 2503 | 4.70 | 5.07 | 3.81 | 2.77 | 5.26 | 5.76 | 4.12 | 3.38 | 7.03 | 7.75 | 5.74 | 5.58 |
| 2802 | 5.89 | 6.13 | 5.32 | 4.02 | 6.51 | 6.91 | 5.81 | 4.73 | 8.80 | 9.49 | 7.73 | 7.05 |
| 2914 | 7.35 | 2.01 | 1.79 | 1.55 | 7.41 | 2.97 | 2.12 | 2.15 | 8.34 | 7.36 | 3.61 | 3.81 |
| 3382 | 6.45 | 2.43 | 1.95 | 1.71 | 6.79 | 3.76 | 2.37 | 2.32 | 8.78 | 8.45 | 4.01 | 3.94 |
| 3402 | 7.21 | 7.49 | 7.44 | 2.72 | 7.55 | 7.84 | 7.72 | 4.25 | 9.32 | 10.01 | 9.83 | 11.05 |
| 3407 | 6.92 | 7.39 | 6.94 | 2.64 | 7.32 | 8.02 | 7.46 | 3.85 | 9.21 | 10.65 | 9.65 | 7.88 |
| 4063 | 8.45 | 2.88 | 2.17 | 2.17 | 8.63 | 4.97 | 2.65 | 2.62 | 10.32 | 11.35 | 4.33 | 4.09 |
| 4188 | 10.69 | 11.06 | 11.31 | 2.39 | 10.93 | 11.25 | 11.39 | 3.58 | 12.59 | 13.19 | 12.37 | 9.00 |
| 4452 | 7.97 | 3.06 | 2.22 | 1.77 | 8.43 | 4.82 | 2.67 | 2.30 | 10.51 | 10.05 | 4.27 | 3.71 |
| 4502 | 8.25 | 1.79 | 1.59 | 1.36 | 8.29 | 2.98 | 1.98 | 1.95 | 8.90 | 7.88 | 3.37 | 3.43 |
| 4503 | 8.29 | 3.00 | 4.02 | 2.66 | 8.52 | 5.58 | 4.28 | 3.33 | 10.13 | 14.04 | 5.76 | 5.36 |
| 4523 | 6.51 | 2.65 | 2.38 | 2.26 | 6.95 | 4.11 | 2.94 | 2.97 | 8.75 | 8.49 | 4.47 | 4.58 |
| 4568 | 3.31 | 3.51 | 3.24 | 2.61 | 3.87 | 4.07 | 3.55 | 3.36 | 6.26 | 6.35 | 4.97 | 5.50 |
| 4578 | 4.42 | 3.18 | 2.60 | 2.19 | 5.13 | 4.79 | 3.11 | 3.05 | 8.32 | 10.75 | 4.84 | 5.54 |
| 4661 | 4.59 | 3.51 | 2.84 | 2.98 | 5.19 | 4.40 | 3.33 | 3.52 | 7.08 | 6.76 | 4.98 | 5.24 |
| 4901 | 3.05 | 2.41 | 2.42 | 2.00 | 3.56 | 3.02 | 2.78 | 2.74 | 5.46 | 5.16 | 4.50 | 4.83 |
| 4911 | 4.15 | 4.32 | 3.21 | 2.58 | 4.92 | 5.23 | 3.58 | 3.46 | 7.62 | 7.72 | 5.63 | 6.31 |
| 5020 | 9.50 | 9.80 | 9.29 | 1.94 | 9.63 | 9.86 | 9.35 | 3.02 | 10.75 | 10.57 | 10.38 | 7.52 |
| 5108 | 6.54 | 2.33 | 1.78 | 1.60 | 6.73 | 3.67 | 2.12 | 2.21 | 8.20 | 8.03 | 3.63 | 4.00 |
| 5201 | 8.21 | 8.49 | 8.49 | 3.42 | 8.65 | 8.78 | 8.72 | 4.75 | 10.94 | 10.90 | 10.96 | 11.58 |
| 5401 | 14 74 | 15.66 | 15 73 | 2 56 | 14 75 | 15.67 | 15 73 | 3 54 | 15.18 | 16.26 | 15.91 | 9.88 |
| 5411 | 2.63 | 2 90 | 2.89 | 2 32 | 3 22 | 3 56 | 3.26 | 3 14 | 5 59 | 6.02 | 5.04 | 5.67 |
| 5713 | 5.30 | 5.65 | 4.83 | 3 74 | 5.88 | 6.44 | 5 36 | 4 46 | 8 32 | 9.30 | 8.47 | 7 59 |
| 5802 | 3.67 | 3.05 | 3.75 | 2.66 | 1 23 | 1.46 | 1.09 | 3 31 | 6.51 | 7.04 | 5.81 | 5.41 |
| 6272 | 5.50 | 5.70 | 5.75 | 4.25 | 6.28 | 6.54 | 5.78 | 1 91 | 8.57 | 0.26 | 7.07 | 6 10 |
| 6201 | 2.61 | 2 71 | 2.50 | 1.20 | 2.80 | 2 1 2 | 2.20 | 2.52 | 4.19 | 9.20 4.90 | 1.62 | 4.54 |
| (22) | 2.01 | 2.71 | 2.50 | 2.27 | 2.09 E (0 | 5.12 | 2.00 | 2.52 | 4.10 | 4.90 | 4.05 | 4.34 E 00 |
| 6326 | 4.90 | 4.00 | 4.50 | 3.27 | 5.09 9.21 | 5.57 4.05 | 4.00 | 3.81 2.50 | 0.15 10 E4 | 7.00 | 0.00 | 3.00 2.05 |
| 6367 | 8.00 (42 | 2.97 | 2.29 | 2.08 | 8.31 (E(| 4.95 | 2.80 | 2.50 | 10.54 | 0.54 | 4.64 | 3.95 |
| 6501 | 0.45 | 0.20 | 0.05 | 1.75 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.00 | 2.65 | 10 50 | 0.34 | 7.40 | 0.55 |
| 6502 | 11.51 5 20 | 10.90 | 10.55 | 2.36 | F 99 | 10.94 | 10.56 | 3.57 | 12.58 | 12.04 | (10 | 8.70 |
| 6503 | 5.29 | 5.11 | 4.26 | 3.36 | 5.88 | 5.77 | 4.54 | 4.19 | 8.39 | 8.77 | 6.19 | 6.61 |
| 6594 | 5.87 | 4.04 | 2.05 | 2.04 | 6.47 | 5.76 | 2.57 | 2.51 | 8.86 | 10.35 | 4.24 | 4.01 |
| 6702 | 9.89 | 9.40 | 6.74 | 2.33 | 10.18 | 9.84 | 7.01 | 3.40 | 12.63 | 13.23 | 9.20 | 7.36 |
| 6752 | 4.31 | 4.18 | 4.19 | 2.61 | 4.67 | 4.57 | 4.43 | 3.27 | 6.59 | 6.80 | 6.28 | 5.86 |
| 6758 | 2.82 | 3.03 | 3.09 | 1.95 | 2.97 | 3.22 | 3.31 | 2.59 | 4.31 | 5.09 | 4.66 | 4.71 |
| 6861 | 7.93 | 5.69 | 5.46 | 4.74 | 8.64 | 6.94 | 5.78 | 5.07 | 11.03 | 10.48 | 6.70 | 5.97 |
| 6902 | 9.42 | 2.40 | 1.89 | 1.65 | 9.52 | 4.76 | 2.30 | 2.11 | 10.71 | 12.89 | 3.74 | 3.47 |
| 6954 | 3.40 | 2.65 | 2.38 | 2.35 | 3.94 | 3.76 | 2.90 | 2.85 | 5.74 | 6.02 | 4.36 | 4.02 |
| 6971 | 9.74 | 3.05 | 2.33 | 1.91 | 10.00 | 5.24 | 2.81 | 2.31 | 11.93 | 11.64 | 4.54 | 3.66 |
| 6981 | 5.98 | 3.23 | 2.10 | 2.39 | 6.41 | 4.82 | 2.58 | 2.82 | 8.93 | 10.78 | 3.98 | 4.03 |
| 6988 | 6.77 | 2.76 | 2.09 | 1.93 | 7.42 | 4.58 | 2.65 | 2.55 | 9.74 | 10.16 | 4.47 | 4.43 |
| 7011 | 7.88 | 7.49 | 7.76 | 2.12 | 8.13 | 7.87 | 7.87 | 3.13 | 10.07 | 10.61 | 9.21 | 7.02 |
| 7201 | 5.63 | 5.52 | 5.15 | 1.86 | 5.76 | 5.75 | 5.24 | 2.57 | 6.80 | 7.98 | 6.36 | 5.30 |
| 7202 | 8.12 | 8.17 | 7.42 | 2.71 | 8.47 | 8.54 | 7.66 | 4.33 | 11.27 | 11.45 | 10.20 | 11.58 |

Table19Virtual effective half spread by order size

| | $e\bar{s}_{50}$ (50th percentile size) | | | | es ₉₀ (| 90th per | rcentile si | ze) | <i>es</i> ₉₉ (99th percentile size) | | | |
|--------------|--|--------------|--------------|-------|--------------------|----------|--------------|--------------|--|---------------|--------------|--------------|
| Code | Phase 1 | | Phase 2 | | Phase 1 | | Phase 2 | | Phase 1 | | Phase 2 | |
| | Before | After | Before | After | Before | After | Before | After | Before | Afterr | Before | After |
| 7203 | 8.02 | 1.20 | 1.04 | 1.11 | 8.02 | 1.87 | 1.30 | 1.41 | 8.07 | 6.48 | 2.23 | 2.36 |
| 7267 | 5.93 | 1.74 | 1.73 | 1.40 | 5.99 | 2.64 | 2.04 | 1.85 | 6.86 | 5.65 | 3.36 | 3.16 |
| 7269 | 3.21 | 3.35 | 2.58 | 2.30 | 4.04 | 4.42 | 3.14 | 3.26 | 6.68 | 7.59 | 4.89 | 5.42 |
| 7270 | 3.44 | 2.56 | 2.45 | 1.92 | 3.82 | 3.42 | 2.89 | 2.70 | 5.36 | 6.56 | 4.49 | 4.60 |
| 7731 | 3.20 | 3.23 | 3.42 | 2.43 | 3.79 | 3.77 | 3.66 | 3.02 | 6.42 | 6.39 | 5.37 | 5.69 |
| 7741 | 3.59 | 3.47 | 2.81 | 2.25 | 4.30 | 4.55 | 3.38 | 2.97 | 6.78 | 7.91 | 5.12 | 4.91 |
| 7751 | 7.59 | 1.92 | 1.71 | 1.26 | 7.60 | 2.54 | 1.92 | 1.79 | 8.03 | 6.27 | 2.99 | 3.42 |
| 7752 | 5.47 | 4.98 | 4.42 | 3.09 | 6.06 | 6.21 | 4.67 | 3.54 | 8.38 | 9.99 | 6.34 | 6.02 |
| 7912 | 6.99 | 7.69 | 6.46 | 5.08 | 7.76 | 8.66 | 6.99 | 6.05 | 9.88 | 10.95 | 8.79 | 8.93 |
| 7974 | 4.68 | 3.59 | 3.38 | 3.45 | 5.48 | 4.80 | 4.04 | 3.95 | 8.30 | 7.89 | 5.91 | 5.51 |
| 8001 | 4 12 | 4 13 | 3.92 | 2 56 | 4 41 | 4.61 | 4.05 | 3.13 | 6.11 | 7.05 | 5 36 | 5.65 |
| 8002 | 6.93 | 6.92 | 6.80 | 2.05 | 7.03 | 7 17 | 6.86 | 3.48 | 8.33 | 10.18 | 7 78 | 8.26 |
| 8031 | 3.64 | 3.68 | 3.14 | 2.03 | 3.84 | 4 04 | 3.26 | 2 59 | 5 38 | 6 35 | 4 57 | 4 94 |
| 8035 | 9.16 | 3.37 | 2 38 | 2.00 | 9.50 | 5.90 | 2.86 | 2.09 | 12 25 | 16.00 | 4 55 | 4 37 |
| 8053 | 4.07 | 4.08 | 3.81 | 2.51 | 4 29 | 4 50 | 3.97 | 2.91 | 5 79 | 7 22 | 5.31 | 4 95 |
| 8058 | 2.66 | 2.00 | 2 50 | 1.83 | 2.85 | 3.09 | 2.67 | 2.55 | 4 04 | 4 95 | 4.07 | 4.80 |
| 8113 | 2.00 8.31 | 2.70 | 2.30 | 2 38 | 2.00 8.52 | 4 4 5 | 2.07 | 2.52 | 10.81 | 11.06 | 4.07 | 4.80 |
| 8267 | 3.99 | 4.05 | 4 23 | 2.50 | 4 40 | 4 44 | 4 35 | 2.00 | 6.28 | 6.11 | 5.53 | 4 70 |
| 8306 | 7 59 | 7.81 | 4.20 8.10 | 1.31 | 7.40 | 7.83 | 4.00 8.10 | 2.05 | 7.92 | 8.40 | 8 37 | 6.85 |
| 8308 | 9.62 | 8.99 | 8.46 | 2.27 | 9.64 | 9.06 | 8.52 | 2.05 | 10.42 | 10.40 | 9.62 | 9.91 |
| 8309 | 9.51 | 9.95 | 10.40 | 2.27 | 9.04 | 10.30 | 10.92 | 4.53 | 11.75 | 12 75 | 12.02 | 9.71 |
| 8216 | 9.51 | 1.54 | 1.57 | 1.22 | 9.79 | 2 82 | 1 06 | 1.00 | 0.80 | 0.24 | 2 22 | 2 28 |
| 8332 | 9.44 | 10.34 | 9.15 | 3 50 | 9.45 10.13 | 2.05 | 9.80 | 5.43 | 9.00 12.32 | 9.24 15.15 | 12.16 | 11.04 |
| 8/11 | 22 02 | 22 20 | 24.42 | 2.59 | 22.08 | 22 20 | 24.42 | 2.45 | 22.02 | 22.20 | 24.42 | 2 27 |
| 8501 | 22.90 | 2 20 | 2 7 2 | 2.39 | 22.90 | 2 01 | 2 40 | 2.05 | 5.62 | 6.01 | 5 27 | 5.57 |
| 8601 | 5.17 | 5.39 | 5.23 | 2.23 | 5.07 | 5.91 | 6.21 | 2.05 | 7.60 | 0.01 8.60 | 9.27 8.22 | 7.24 |
| 8604 | 6.26 | 6 70 | 7.10 | 1.67 | 6.42 | 674 | 7 11 | 2.85 | 7.09 | 7.76 | 7.56 | 7.24 |
| 8620 | 2 00 | 2.67 | 2.10 | 2.01 | 1.96 | 4 41 | 2.71 | 2.09 | 7.10 | 6.46 | 7.50 5.50 | 7.93 5.02 |
| 8725 | 3.00 2.((| 2.67 | 2.21 | 2.91 | 4.00 | 4.41 | 2.74 | 2.72 | 7.20 | 0.40 | 5.50 | 0.95 |
| 8750 | 2.60 | 2.00 | 2.66 | 2.71 | 4.35 | 4.54 | 3.74 | 3.75 2.97 | 6.07 | 6.20 | 6.32 | 0.45 |
| 8750 | 5.01 | 5.05 2.79 | 3.00 | 2.30 | 4.11 | 4.20 | 4.03 | 2.07 | 10.12 | 0.09 | 0.23 | 4.07 |
| 8766 | 7.62 | 2.78 | 2.29 | 2.11 | 8.03 | 4.06 | 2.64 | 2.70 | 10.12 | 8.14 | 4.03 | 4.46 |
| 8795 8801 | 4.50 | 4.67 | 4.44 | 3.36 | 5.27 | 5.52 | 4.99 | 4.10 | 0.00 10.00 | 0.11 | 7.09 | 0.70 |
| 8801 | 7.41 | 3.99 | 2.53 | 2.35 | 7.97 | 5.56 | 2.94 | 2.93 | 10.09 | 9.98 | 4.69 | 4.87 |
| 8802 | 5.53 | 3.64 | 3.28 | 2.64 | 6.35 | 4.81 | 3.63 | 3.13 | 8.58 | 8.37 | 5.05 | 4.51 |
| 8830 | 7.99 | 4.92 | 4.38 | 3.47 | 8.68 | 6.58 | 4.70 | 3.76 | 11.04 | 10.54 | 6.27 | 4.98 |
| 9020 | 6.26 | 2.52 | 1.75 | 1.91 | 6.50 | 4.02 | 2.15 | 2.35 | 7.85 | 8.77 | 3.54 | 3.68 |
| 9021 | 6.04 | 2.73 | 2.11 | 1.94 | 6.47 | 4.50 | 2.65 | 2.51 | 8.37 | 9.32 | 4.35 | 4.20 |
| 9022 | 5.74 | 3.93 | 2.98 | 3.04 | 6.29 | 4.91 | 3.45 | 3.45 | 8.33 | 7.86 | 4.93 | 4.67 |
| 9064 | 3.65 | 3.67 | 3.50 | 2.74 | 4.48 | 4.43 | 4.01 | 3.52 | 6.84 | 6.44 | 5.76 | 5.73 |
| 9202 | 23.67 | 22.63 | 20.65 | 2.81 | 23.67 | 22.63 | 20.65 | 3.41 | 23.71 | 22.93 | 20.70 | 9.71 |
| 9432 | 9.17 | 2.39 | 1.86 | 1.64 | 9.20 | 4.31 | 2.23 | 1.90 | 10.18 | 14.19 | 3.81 | 3.09 |
| 9433 | 7.95 | 2.47 | 1.81 | 1.73 | 8.17 | 5.21 | 2.22 | 2.05 | 9.90 | 14.26 | 3.56 | 3.21 |
| 9437 | 3.06 | 3.15 | 2.99 | 1.99 | 3.24 | 3.42 | 3.16 | 2.48 | 4.81 | 6.07 | 4.54 | 4.54 |
| 9502 | 4.68 | 5.28 | 4.89 | 3.62 | 5.36 | 6.41 | 5.52 | 4.38 | 7.96 | 9.54 | 7.53 | 6.46 |
| 9503 | 4.95 | 5.24 | 5.60 | 2.92 | 5.44 | 5.99 | 6.13 | 4.66 | 8.26 | 9.43 | 8.61 | 10.53 |
| 9531 | 9.99 | 9.97 | 8.74 | 2.88 | 10.19 | 10.17 | 9.12 | 4.39 | 11.30 | 12.09 | 10.91 | 9.31 |
| 9532 | 12.30 | 12.12 | 11.94 | 3.08 | 12.52 | 12.40 | 12.26 | 4.61 | 13.67 | 14.45 | 13.78 | 9.37 |
| 9735 | 8.49 | 3.74 | 2.54 | 2.54 | 8.95 | 6.60 | 3.15 | 3.10 | 11.08 | 12.96 | 4.86 | 4.64 |
| 9983 | 6.64 | 2.85 | 2.94 | 2.57 | 7.22 | 4.57 | 3.45 | 3.11 | 9.47 | 8.45 | 5.20 | 4.64 |
| 9984 | 5.61 | 1.38 | 1.07 | 1.10 | 5.64 | 2.74 | 1.42 | 1.42 | 6.25 | 7.86 | 2.50 | 2.47 |

* The figures are the average of the virtual effective half spread, which is the effective half spread caused by execution of market order using each statistic in the period preceding Phase 1 and Phase 2 on Table 18 as the order size, for each period. Units are bps.